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1.0 DEPARTMENT HEAD

1.1 Outside Regulations Applying to Head
Regulations concerning the election, duties, and rights of the Head of the Department of English at the University of Regina (hereafter “the Department”) are described in the University of Regina Act, the Collective Agreement (article 15), and the Faculty of Arts Handbook (section 3).

1.2 Definition of “Tenurable” in Nominating, Electing, and Serving as Head
Section 3.1 of the Faculty of Arts Handbook specifies that only “tenurable” members of the Department can nominate candidates for the position of Head. The Department defines “tenurable” as tenure granted by the Board of Governors of the University of Regina (Nov. 22, 1989). In the Department, only tenured members can be nominated for the position of Head, but any member in a tenure-track position can nominate candidates for the Headship (Nov. 4, 1992).

Term appointments are eligible to vote on candidates for the Headship, on the condition that term appointments make up no more than 40% of the total number of voting members. In addition, sessionals may appoint 1 representative for every 5 preferential sessionals on main campus to vote for the Headship. (Jan. 23, 2017)

1.3 Student Participation in Election of Head
Student representatives may also vote in the election of a Head, but student ballots will be counted separately (Faculty of Arts Handbook section 3.1). The students entitled to vote are two representatives: one undergraduate student and one graduate student, to be designated by the English Students’ Association (ESA) from among the pool of current elected student representatives to English Department committees.

2.0 CONDUCT OF DEPARTMENT MEETINGS

2.1 Members Eligible to Vote in Department Meetings
All those holding tenurable or term appointments in the University of Regina Department of English may vote on all matters in Department meetings. Normally, the phrase “members of the Department” applies only to those holding such appointments.

2.2 Student Participation in Department Meetings
The student representatives on the Undergraduate, Honours/Graduate, and Advisory Committees may also vote on all matters (Feb. 3, 1972 and Feb. 28, 1984).

2.3 Members of Federated Colleges in Department Meetings
Members of the English departments of the Federated Colleges may vote on matters of curriculum only (June 9, 1981).

2.4 Sessional Lecturers and Instructors, and Members of the University Faculty Who Are Not Members of the Department
People in these categories who are currently teaching a class or classes for the Department may vote at Department meetings on matters affecting the undergraduate program and on such matters as the chair may in his or her discretion deem appropriate (Nov. 4, 1992).

2.5 Representative from Faculty of Education
The Department offers a non-voting membership to a representative of the Language Arts Education subject area of the Faculty of Education in order to further relations between the two groups to their mutual advantage (Feb. 7, 1973). This member may attend Department meetings and will be sent minutes of Department and committee meetings.

2.6 Full-Time Instructors

2.6.1 Instructors shall have the right to vote on issues regarding the undergraduate curriculum, excluding Honours courses.

2.6.2 Instructors shall have the right to vote on issues that affect their lives in the Department, but shall be excluded from voting on matters having a direct bearing on decisions governing tenure and promotion for tenured and tenurable faculty. The issues on which they may vote include (but are not limited to) the choice of visiting speakers, the principles informing the disposition of Wascana Anthology funds, issues relating to Wascana Review, the principles governing evaluation of instructors in the annual performance review, or changes in the Department’s teaching evaluation document.

2.6.3 Instructors are encouraged to be active participants in the selection of new tenure-stream faculty and to express their opinions of the candidates at Departmental meetings. The instructors will choose a single individual to represent them in the official Department-wide vote that is part of the current procedure.

3.0 COMMITTEES

3.1 Advisory Committee
The Advisory Committee advises the Department Head on matters of curriculum, on recruitment, and on matters of performance review. The committee considers the cases of all Department members being reviewed (Nov. 4, 1976). The Head may seek advice from the committee on other matters as well.

The committee is to consist of four faculty members in addition to the Head (Nov. 3, 1994), with all members of the Department eligible to serve (Feb. 3, 1972), and of one full-time graduate student, normally elected by the English Graduate Students’ Association (Sept. 24, 1997). The student representative is not to be present when matters of performance review are discussed (Nov. 4, 1976, XX XX 2011). Normally, the faculty member elected to the Advisory Committee with the highest number of votes will serve for two years. If this member declines election to a two-year term, the person receiving the next highest number of votes will serve for two years. The
Nominating Committee will, in any case, ensure that among those nominated to the Advisory Committee, at least one is willing and able to serve a two-year term. No member of the Department may serve more than two consecutive terms on the Advisory Committee. To preserve confidentiality, no minutes are taken during Advisory Committee meetings (XX XX 2011).

3.2 Honours/Graduate Committee
This committee is to consist of five faculty members and two students. At least one of the students is to be a graduate student elected by the English Graduate Students’ Association (Sept. 24, 1997). One faculty member is the Graduate Chair, serving ex officio as the committee chair; the Head of the Department will also serve as one of the faculty members ex officio. Two of the other faculty members are to be elected from the Department to two-year terms, and normally one is elected each year (Nov. 3, 1994). The fifth faculty member is designated by the federated colleges (Mar. 27, 1975 and Sept. 22, 1980). The position for a member of a federated college is to be rotated among the three colleges (Sept. 11, 1991).

3.3 Undergraduate Committee
This committee is to consist of five faculty members and two students. In addition, the Head of the Department will be an ex officio member. Four of the faculty members are to be elected from the Department to two-year terms, and normally two are elected each year. The fifth faculty member is designated by the federated colleges (Mar. 27, 1975). The position for a member of a federated college is to be rotated among the three colleges (Sept. 11, 1991). One of the student members of the committee is to be elected by the Honours and Graduate students as a representative of teaching assistants (Nov. 21, 1974). Among its other duties, the Undergraduate Committee is responsible for recommending the awarding of scholarships to undergraduate students (Feb. 3, 1972). The committee also has the responsibility for discussing the classes to be taught in the Spring and Summer sessions and making recommendations to the Department (Oct. 3, 1973). The committee also conducts the review of ENGL 100 and ENGL 110 course outlines. (XX XX XXXX).

3.4 Nominating Committee
The Nominating Committee is made up of one tenurable faculty member from the Department serving in alphabetical order (Nov. 3, 1994). This committee is to produce a list of nominees for Departmental committees and present it for election by the Department. The committee is to make every effort to provide a list of names sufficient to safeguard the principle of election. The practice has been to ask for volunteers to serve on committees and to list all those who submit their names. Department committee elections are to be held in late March and the new committees are to take office on July 1 (Feb. 3, 1972). All members of the Department (tenured, probationary, and those holding term appointments) are eligible for all committees (Feb. 3, 1972).

3.5 Writing Committee
Suspended 2009
3.6 Scholarship Committee
The Scholarship Committee, chaired by the Head and consisting of the Graduate Chair and the Chair of the Undergraduate Committee, will meet once a term or more as needed to award all undergraduate, honours and graduate scholarships and revise criteria when necessary.

3.7 Creative Writing Committee
Membership: Department Head (ex officio), Graduate Chair (ex officio), 1 student (to be selected by the English Students’ Association), 1 faculty member from the federated colleges, and 3 faculty members elected by the university department. The faculty positions will consist of two 2-year terms and two 1-year terms. All members of the committee are able to vote. One faculty member will be elected by committee members to serve as chair of the committee for two years.

The major duties of the Creative Writing Committee are to discuss all matters pertaining to the teaching of creative writing, to review undergraduate and graduate creative writing curricula and programs, and to vote on the approval of creative writing theses. With the assistance of creative writing faculty, it will review applications to the M.A. program in Creative Writing and English, and forward its recommendations to the Honours/Graduate Committee. If the Creative Writing Committee does not approve an application to the M.A. program in Creative Writing and English, its decision will stand; however, the Graduate Chair may veto a recommendation of acceptance made by the Creative Writing Committee, should there be any doubt of the applicant’s qualifications. The Creative Writing Committee will, in a timely manner, make recommendations about creative writing classes to be offered by the Department of English, and will ensure that at least one creative writing workshop graduate seminar can be offered per year. The chair of the Creative Writing Committee, or the chair’s designate, will attend the review of creative writing thesis proposals, and vote on their approval. (Oct 2014)

3.8 Minutes of Committee Meetings
Minutes of committee meetings are to be distributed to members of the Department directly after meetings (for exception, see 3.1). Members of the Department are expected to bring these minutes to the next Department meeting (Mar. 6, 1985).

4.0 ADMINISTRATIVE POSITIONS

4.1 Library Representative
One member of the Department will be responsible for contacts between the Department and the library.

4.2 Coordinator of Visiting Speakers
One member of the Department will be responsible for organizing the visits of academics and writers to the Department. This individual will be responsible for
overseeing the budget for such visits, making up publicity material, and making contacts with the Canada Council and co-sponsoring organizations in Regina.

4.3 Organizers of the Orlene Murad Academic Discussions
Two members of the Department will be responsible for recruiting individuals to present these discussions, and scheduling and publicizing them. The organizers will also arrange for suitable gourmet refreshments and liquor licenses.

4.4 Graduate Chair
The Graduate Chair supervises the graduate program. The person holding this position is responsible for recruiting graduate students both on and off campus, and for producing, updating and distributing information about the M.A. in English program. The Graduate Chair also reviews all applications for admission to the program and makes recommendations to the Faculty of Graduate Studies regarding which students should be admitted and what their status should be. The Graduate Chair in addition counsels all graduate students, works out their M.A. programs with them, and helps them to find thesis supervisors. Once a student has a thesis supervisor, the focus of counseling shifts to the supervisor, but the Graduate Chair still has responsibility for general supervision of the student’s program.

The Graduate Chair is also responsible for dealing with the award of scholarships such as teaching assistantships, graduate scholarships, and student travel grants, for counseling students regarding Ph.D. programs, employment opportunities, etc. (Oct. 7, 1993 and Nov. 3, 1994), and for organizing graduate conferences such as Literary Eclectic.

Terms of Appointment
The Graduate Chair is normally a tenured member of the Department who is accredited to supervise MA theses by the Faculty of Graduate Studies. S/he is elected by all permanent members of the Department and Federated Colleges who are accredited to teach graduate courses by the Faculty of Graduate Studies. The Graduate Chair normally serves a term of no more than three years and receives one departmental course release per year.

4.5 Coordinator of First-Year English
The Coordinator’s primary task within the Department is to guide the development of curriculum for ENGL 100 and 110, to promote continuing discussion of pedagogy, and to facilitate the integration of the composition and literature components of the classes. This will include assisting with course outlines, conducting grading seminars, maintaining communications with those teaching 100-level classes, and mentoring first-time instructors. The Coordinator will also conduct meetings of ENGL 100 and 110 teachers to share information, problems, insights, successes, and so on.

There should be an emphasis on two-way collaboration between the Coordinator and first-year instructors. The Coordinator will work in concert with, and under the
direction of, the Department to foster a collegial and supportive environment for all first-year instructors and students.

The Coordinator should consult with all ENGL 100 and 110 instructors on course syllabi and assignments, to work with the Department Head to ensure that the instructors are meeting the objectives for 100-level classes set by the Department and are assessing and evaluating first-year student ability appropriately.

The Coordinator will be responsible for fostering such in-house programs as team-teaching or experimental writing-teaching initiatives that might arise.

In the larger context, the Coordinator will promote the advancement of writing skills throughout the university community. He or she should also provide a liaison between the Teaching Development Centre and the Department of English, and administer the Departmental Writing Centre. The Coordinator should collaborate with other writing initiatives throughout the university and undertake the complex task of coordinating our efforts with those of the other writing centres that have evolved ad hoc throughout the university community.

Terms of Appointment
The Coordinator will normally be elected for a term of two years. The position will normally carry course relief of one class per year. The Coordinator will normally teach at least one section of ENGL 100 and one of 110 during the academic year. The Coordinator will normally hold a tenured or tenurable appointment in the Department.

5.0 WASCANA REVIEW
The Dept. of English voted to cease publication of Wascana Review on April 12, 2012

6.0 PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

6.1 B.A. Major in English
Students must complete fifteen courses (= 45 credit hours) in the major with at least 65% English GPA required to graduate. Required courses are:

1. ENGL 100 and 110.
2. ENGL 211 and 212.
3. One of ENGL 221, 222, 223.
4. One of ENGL 301, 302.
5. One of ENGL 349, 390, 399.
6. One 300- or 400-level ENGL course designated as Period I (1000-1660).
7. One 300- or 400-level ENGL course designated as Period II (1660-1790).
8. One 300- or 400-level ENGL course designated as Period III (1791-1900).
9. Any one 300- or 400-level ENGL course.
10. Any four 200- or 300- or 400-level ENGL courses or ARC 200 or HUM 260 or LING 200, 210, 212, 213

6.2 B.A. with Honours in English

6.2.1 Admission to Honours Courses
To apply for Honours, students must have completed and/or be registered in 60 credit hours, have completed the B.A. core requirements, and have a Program GPA of at least 70% and an English GPA of at least 75%. Application forms are available from Arts Student Services or at www.arts.uregina.ca/current-students.

6.2.2 Honours Course Requirements
Students must complete twenty courses (= 60 credit hours) with at least 75% English GPA required. Required courses are:

1. ENGL 100 and 110.
2. ENGL 211 and 212.
3. One of ENGL 221, 222, 223.
4. ENGL 349, 388, 399.
5. Four of ENGL 400-489, 499.
6. ENGL 490 and 491; or two of ENGL 400-489, 499.
7. Six of ENGL 200-, 300-, 400-, ARC 200, HUM 260, LING 200, 210, 212, 213.

6.2.3 Honours Paper
The Honours Essay Course will count for 6 credit hours carried over two semesters as ENGL 490/491.

The Honours Essay (exclusive of bibliography and footnotes) shall normally be between 30-40 pages, but shall not normally exceed 45 pages. The essay or project shall be submitted on March 1 of the following year for students registering in September. For students registering in January or the Spring or Summer semesters the essay or project is due on November 1 of the same year. Students should plan to have a preliminary draft of the essay completed approximately six weeks before the examination.

For purposes of this essay, the student will have a faculty advisor. The student is required to see his or her advisor at least once every two weeks over the two semesters. In addition, the student will be required to have his or her Honours Essay proposal approved by the Honours/Graduate Committee during the first semester, preferably during the first 6-8 weeks. At the end of the first semester of the course, the advisor will submit a written progress report – not a grade – (based upon these bi-weekly discussions) to the Head of the Department, and the report will be discussed with the student. However, students whose proposals have not
been approved before the end of the first semester will not receive credit for that semester and will have be required to register in the regular Honours course option in order to continue in the program. Students whose progress is satisfactory in ENGL 490 will receive a grade of NR, which will be changed to the same grade as received in ENGL 491 once the essay is completed and graded.

While the advisor shall provide the student with assistance in the research for and writing of the Honours Essay, the final presentation shall be the sole responsibility of the student. Within 15 days after the submission of a single copy of the Honours Essay, an examining committee comprising the Department Head (or designate) as Chair and at least one other faculty member (not the advisor) shall examine the writer on his or her essay by means of an oral examination. While the advisor shall be present at the examination, s/he will not be a part of the examining committee. The examination will be approximately one hour’s duration. Two grades will be assigned: one by the advisor upon the student’s work over the two semesters as reflected in the Honours Essay, the other by the examining committee based on the student’s paper and performance in the oral examination. The Honours/Graduate Committee recommends that the two grades be assigned a 70% : 30% ratio.

Extensions may be granted only in exceptional circumstances. Before the last semester of their degree program, students must submit a revised version of their best paper to the professor for whom it was written. The professor and one other professorial reader will certify that the revised paper is suitable as an Honours Paper (March 11, 1992).

6.3 Concentration in Creative Writing

English majors or honours students may select an optional concentration in creative writing by completing the following courses within their major requirements.

1. ENGL 251 and 252
2. Two of ENGL 352AA-ZZ, 353, 354, or any 400-level ENGL course designated as Creative Writing. The student must do work in at least two different genres.

It is strongly recommended that students also complete one or more courses in both Canadian literature (ENGL 213, 312-315AA-ZZ) and Aboriginal/First Nations literature (ENGL 310-311AA-ZZ). Students are encouraged to complete ENGL 351 (when available). The creative writing courses CREE 209 and SAUL 209 are also recommended. CREE 340 and/or SAUL 340 (focusing on oral traditions) may also be of interest to some students.

6.3.1 Honours Paper with a Concentration in Creative Writing

TBA

6.4 Minor in English
Students must complete 7 classes:
1. ENGL 100 and 110.
2. Any two 200- or 300-level ENGL courses or ARC 200, HUM 260.
3. Any three 300-level ENGL courses.

6.5 MA in English
Please consult the Department’s online M.A. in English Handbook.

6.6 Special Case PhD in English
Please consult the Department’s M.A. in English Handbook.

7.0 COURSE REGULATIONS

7.1 ENGL 100: Critical Reading and Writing I
This course develops students’ proficiency in critical reading and writing through the study of a wide range of non-literary and literary texts, and the study of composition, with emphasis on connections between modes of reading and writing.

7.1.1 Critical Reading in ENGL 100
Reading assignments in ENGL 100 are designed to:

- encourage the transferability of reading skills through reading a wide range of texts, including different genres of literature, non-fiction, and academic prose;
- reading for a wide variety of purposes, including inquiry, learning, aesthetic pleasure, thinking, and acquiring information;
- assist students in adapting to the reading demands of University-level study by:
  - reading both intensively and extensively;
  - illustrating how genres shape both reading and writing;
  - illustrating how audience and occasion shape both reading and writing.

In order to meet these goals, instructors should strive for a balanced offering, in class and in assignments, of poetry, at least one of drama and fiction, and non-fiction prose drawn from a variety of academic and public fora.

The focus in ENGL 100 is reading and writing critically. We recognize that day-to-day classroom practice will vary, and that it may not be possible to discuss all of the assigned readings in every class; however, in order to assist students in adapting to the reading demands of the University, the amount and variety of assigned reading should provide students with the opportunity to hone reading strategies and proficiencies independently as well as through classroom instruction.

By the end of ENGL 100, students should be able to:
apply a variety of methods to expand and retain both specialized and general vocabularies;
apply tailored reading strategies to a variety of expository, persuasive, expressive, and literary genres;
adapt their reading styles and rates to accommodate reading material from a variety of different disciplines;
read a variety of genres analytically, accurately, and efficiently.

Specific areas to be covered are:

analysis of target audience through textual cues;
analysis of genre and its effect on audience and expectations;
analysis of explicit and implicit structural elements of different texts;
practice in pre-reading strategies, such as surveying, anticipating and predicting;
practice in reading strategies, such as questioning, annotating and highlighting;
practice in post-reading strategies, such as summarizing, paraphrasing, and review.

Assigned readings in ENGL 100 should be designed along the following principles:

a range of genres and/or modes chosen from amongst the following and including at least one from each of the Non-fiction and Academic Prose and Literature categories:

**Non-fiction and Academic Prose**
academic prose, such as articles from the disciplines;
magazine and newspaper articles;
nonfiction;
other non-literary texts of instructor’s preference.

**Literature**
poetry;
short stories;
plays;
novels;
other literary texts of instructor’s preference.

At least one extensive reading project, that requires significant independent reading as well as some intensive classroom instruction, such as:
a novel;
a play;
a single-authored volume of poetry, short stories, or essays;
a collection of essays, poems, or short stories on a single theme or topic.
7.1.2 Critical Writing in ENGL 100: Composition and Number of Assignments

Writing assignments must be an integral part of the course, which should be structured and sequenced in such a way as to help students improve their critical reading and writing skills in a variety of genres and for a variety of audiences.

Writing assignments in ENGL 100 are designed to:

- develop academic and critical writing skills by focusing on a purpose;
- illustrating writing as a series of tasks rather than a single task;
- developing a range of flexible strategies for generating, revising, editing, and proof-reading texts;

encourage the transferability of writing skills by
- analyzing and responding to the needs of different audiences;
- analyzing and responding to the needs of different rhetorical situations;
- writing for a variety of purposes, including inquiry, analysis, persuasion, and exposition;

assist students in adapting to the writing demands of University-level study by emphasizing writing as an informed dialogue between ideas;
- emphasizing professionalism in standard format, structure, style, and tone;
- illustrating the demands of writing in both electronic and non-electronic environments.

By the end of ENGL 100, students should be able to:
- analyze and respond to a specific writing task in terms of audience, purpose, and occasion;
- apply a variety of strategies to develop and revise a written assignment;
- adapt tone and style to a variety of different tasks;
- integrate their own ideas with those of others, including citation and documentation;
- use conventions of format, structure, style, and grammar appropriate to the task;
- control surface features such as syntax, grammar, punctuation, and spelling.

Specific areas to be covered are:
- analyzing and solving problems;
- establishing argument-evidence relations;
- analyzing audience;
- analyzing purpose;
- analyzing rhetorical situation (occasion);
- citation, documentation, quotation, and paraphrase;
- practice in pre-writing strategies, such as concept maps, brainstorming, and outlining;
- practice in writing strategies, such as exposition, argument, persuasion, description, and narration;
practice in post-writing strategies, such as peer review, editing, and proof-reading; sentence editing, with a focus on wrong word and homonym errors; comma errors, including unnecessary commas and missing commas; vague pronoun references faulty sentence structure, including fused sentences, fragments, and mixed constructions; unnecessary or missing apostrophes; faulty quotation, paraphrase, and documentation.

**Evaluation**

50% + on take-home writing assignments (essays and papers)
25% – 40% on final examination

A participation grade may be used in ENGL 100, but cannot exceed 10% of the total grade. If it exceeds 5% of the total grade it should be accompanied by assignments or tasks that can be re-assessed (Jan 2017)

**Number and Nature of Assignments**

All courses must include 4 to 6 writing assignments, of approximately 500 words or more each, planned according to the following principles:

- a minimum of 3 take-home writing assignments, totaling approximately 3000 words; this total does not include pages in drafts or pre-writing work;
- an additional 1 to 3 writing assignments - including but not limited to in-class writing; at least 2 of the writing assignments, take-home or otherwise, must be on literary topics.
- one final examination, including at least one essay question, which essay is not included as one of the 4 to 6 writing assignments specified above.

**Students must pass the final examination in order to pass the class.**

7.1.3 **International Students in ENGL 100**

All students in English 100 must demonstrate a basic fluency in the English language in order to pass the course. Students who are learning English as an additional language face many unique challenges in English 100. Instructors can facilitate the international student's need to develop and improve their English proficiency by directing them to resources that supplement their English class materials. Students may, for instance, be directed to International Student supports (such as the Global Learning Centre, UR International), and the instructor should also use a rhetoric and composition handbook with an ESL or EAL guide for international students. (Jan 2016)

7.1.4 **Grading Standards in ENGL 100**

Successful essays demonstrate:
a capacity to identify a problem in a given subject and to develop related ideas in a gradual sequence;

a sensitivity to a reader’s responses or questions at each stage of the communication process;

a knowledge of how to correlate abstract or general ideas with concrete supporting or illustrating material;

a knowledge of sentence structure, the general rules of grammar, and how and when to apply these;

a familiarity with standard formatting (including documentation) conventions of academic writing.

**Compositions that earn a mark in the 90% range** are characterized by a maturity of thought and expression beyond that which can be reasonably be expected of students in ENGL 100. “Maturity” may be demonstrated through originality of conception, willingness to expand ideas (critical thinking), ability to link ideas effectively (transitions), responsiveness to audience needs, an extensive and effective vocabulary, as well as knowledge of formal conventions and rules.

**Compositions that earn a mark in the 80% range** build new knowledge or reflect course content in fresh ways, challenging as opposed to simply regurgitating commonly accepted ideas or facts. They bring a fairly broad understanding of course material to bear on specific writing tasks. They are essentially free of formal or mechanical errors.

**Compositions that earn a mark in the 70% range** demonstrate an understanding of course content, and use this to provide reliable insight into a subject (such as a literary work or a controversial issue). They show organization, continuity, as well as appreciation of the audience’s need to track the writer’s meaning—they “teach” the reader and are relatively error-free.

**Compositions that earn a mark in the 60% range** show evidence of a rudimentary plan or organization and thus illustrate the student’s ability to develop an argument as well as carry out analysis. They may contain certain specific types of error (patterns of error), or isolated cases of accidental error, but are virtually free of serious, structural errors that interfere with communication from writer to reader.

**Compositions that earn a mark in the 50% range** are frequently characterized by a simple idea, not adequately explored, or by simplistic or muddy expression of an idea. Compositions that contain a widespread range of errors, or repeated errors of the same type, also fall into this category, largely on the basis of the instructor’s belief that, though the number of errors is large, their scope is small enough to make the student’s recognition and repair of them feasible. The 50%
range might be thought of as a catch-all for marginal kinds of writing, either writing that is grammatically correct but says little, or writing that is marred by error but clearly shows evidence of thought.

**Compositions that earn a failing grade** are deficient in a significant number of ways: they lack original or creative thought, show little or no mastery of course ideas, fail to link arguments to supporting evidence, and are generally not in touch with the conventions and rules of academic discourse. Signs of these shortcomings may be failure to understand a specific writing task, to engage in problem solving, or to show connections between points.

7.1.5 **Relative Emphases of Literature and Composition in ENGL 100**
In ENGL 100 the content of the class will be two-thirds literature and one-third composition.

7.2 **ENGL 110: Critical Reading and Writing II**

7.2.1 **Composition and Number of Assignments in ENGL 110**
The courses focused on genres, themes, topics, or motifs and their respective viewpoints in ENGL 110 afford students the occasion to begin to understand the complex ways texts organize, inform, and frame our understanding of the world and the human condition. Students will continue to read for a wide variety of purposes, including inquiry, learning, aesthetic pleasure, thinking, and acquiring information.

The critical reading goals outlined in the description of English 100, which are not the work of a single term, will continue to shape the reading occasions we create. Regardless of whether the class is organized around topic, genre, or motif, students will come to understand that literary studies are part of a complex network of conversations that constitute a culture.

In addition, students in classes that focus on genre will come to understand how generic constraints are marked in texts, how genre shapes the reader’s interpretation of the text, how genres arise and are transformed over time and how that transformation is an index to the concerns and anxieties of an historical moment.

Students in classes that are organized by theme or topic will have an opportunity to experience the way literature thinks about culture’s significant ideas or articulates cultural preoccupations. They will have an opportunity to synthesize, to read and write comparatively between works to consider how those ideas or preoccupations are manifested and how they change over time or with context.

Classes organized by genre, theme, or topic will give students transferable reading skills that encourage them to consistently place what they read in the larger
context of history, of similar kinds of texts, of radically different texts, and of the larger conversation about ideas which is human culture.

Samples of generically- or thematically-organized sections of 110 include “Psychological Gothic,” “Irish Fiction,” “Literature and the Environment,” “Sympathy for the Devil,” and “Twenty-first Century Dystopia.” (March 1, 2013)

A participation grade may be used in ENGL 100, but cannot exceed 10% of the total grade. If it exceeds 5% of the total grade it should be accompanied by assignments or tasks that can be re-assessed. (Jan 2017)

7.2.2 Literature in ENGL 110
Writing assignments must be an integral part of the course, which should be structured and sequenced in such a way as to help students improve their critical reading and writing skills in a variety of genres and for a variety of audiences. There will be a minimum of two essays of 1,000 words and a research essay of 1,500 to 2,000 words.

The capstone writing assignment for ENGL 110 will be a research essay of 1500-2000 words. This assignment and the preparation to undertake it will speak to the fact that the academic project at a university constitutes a conversation among thinkers. The library is keen to help us with a library orientation for their students in preparation for this assignment and can do so whether the class takes place on or off campus.

By the end of English 110, students should have developed the skills
- to frame a research question
- to find and evaluate resources
- to summarize a scholarly debate
- to paraphrase a scholarly argument
- to understand when summary, paraphrase, or quotation are necessary for the purposes of their own argument
- to accurately and effectively introduce and quote resources in their own work without compromising the coherence of their paragraphs
- to understand MLA conventions for citing resources and to understand that other disciplines have their own conventions
- to create a Works Cited list
(March 1, 2013)

7.2.3 Relative Emphases of Literature and Composition in ENGL 110
In ENGL 110 the content of the class will be two-thirds literature and one-third composition.
7.3 Intermediate (200-level) ENGL Courses

7.3.1 Writing Requirements
Students in all these courses will be required to write three papers of approximately 1000 words each, or the equivalent.

7.3.2 Goals of the Courses
The primary purpose of these classes is to give students practice in analyzing literary texts. The emphasis must be on applications of theory or approaches rather than on the theories and approaches themselves.

7.3.3 Place of the Courses in English Major or Honours Programs
Students intending to take a major or honours in English should be counseled to take two of these classes before proceeding to higher-level ENGL classes.

7.3.4 ENGL 211 and 212

7.3.5 ENGL 213

7.3.6 ENGL 221, 222, and 223

7.3.7 ENGL 251: Expository and Persuasive Writing

Goals
This class is intended to help students write effective expository essays. Some of the qualities that should be aimed for in the students’ writing are unity, coherence, precision, fluency, clarity, interest, logic, and originality.

Prerequisites
ENGL 251 is not remedial; students must have a combined grade of 60% or better in two English classes numbered 100 or higher to take the class. The students should be expected to have mastered the mechanics of sentence structure and punctuation as a basic requirement for entering the class.

Criteria for Evaluation
ENGL 251 is not a class in the basics of writing; the sophistication of the students’ ideas, the precision of their thinking, and their ability to address their audience are important considerations in evaluating their work.

Nature of Assignments
The students in the class will write a wide variety of expository essays. However, the genres usually taught in creative writing classes should be avoided.

Number of Assignments
It is a basic principle of ENGL 251 that students acquire writing skills mainly through frequent practice. No fewer than eight compositions must be assigned
during the semester in addition to other writing exercises. Many instructors will choose to require more. Revisions that are marked a second time may count as separate papers.

Enrollment Limit
The enrollment in ENGL 251 should not exceed 15 students per section (March 11, 1992).

7.4 300-level Courses

7.5.1 Assignments in 300-level Courses
Apart from examinations, the written assignments in 300-level courses should total no more than 12 pages (3000 words).

7.5 Honours (400-level) Courses
Term assignments for honours courses should amount to no more than 15 pages in total. It is suggested that a term paper and one short paper would be the most useful assignments, although instructors may wish to set one long paper instead. An examination is optional, but if given should be in addition to the paper or papers.

(Deletion April 26, 2013)

7.6 Graduate (800-level) Courses
Two papers amounting to (approximately thirty) pages should be assigned in graduate courses. A final examination is optional, as the instructor decides.

(Deletion April 26, 2013)

7.7 Directed Reading Courses

7.7.1 Undergraduate Directed Reading Courses
When a 200- or 300-level course required for completion of a degree in a semester is not available in the regular timetable of that semester, the Department Head may arrange for an instructor to provide the course in accordance with the following regulations:

1. The course must be similar in content and requirements to the course of the same number in the calendar.

2. The course must have a minimum of 20 contact hours between instructor and student.

3. The student will be required to write two papers or the equivalent, and a final examination.
4. A description of the content of the course and of the nature of the assignments must be approved by the Department Head.

7.7.2 Honours/Graduate Directed Reading Courses

1. Reading courses must be similar in focus to regular Honours or Graduate courses in the department (i.e., introductory or survey-type courses are not acceptable).

2. Students are allowed a maximum of two reading courses in their career in the department in total, and the courses are not to be taken from the same instructor in the same semester.

3. Reading courses must have a minimum of 20 contact hours between instructor and student.

4. Reading courses require at least two papers and a final examination.

5. A reading course will not normally be allowed when a similar regular course is to be offered within the twelve-month period following application. (November 1, 14, 1984)

7.8 Minimum Length of Courses

All English classes must be held over a minimum of a six-week period. All English writing classes, such as English 251, 252, 351, 352, and 353, must be held over a minimum of a 13-week period (May 18, 1993).

8.0 COURSE EVALUATIONS

8.1 Approved Form

In accordance with article 17.19 of the Collective Agreement, the Department has an approved course evaluation form. However, instructors who do not wish to use this form may make a written proposal to the Dean suggesting an alternate method of student course/instructor evaluation.

8.2 Procedures for Dealing with Teaching Evaluations

The evaluations are to be administered by a student in each course. The instructor of the course is not to be present when the evaluations are to be administered.

The student who has administered the evaluations will place the completed forms in the envelope supplied, seal the envelope, and bring it to the English Department office where it will be held until the instructor submits the final course grades. When the Department Head has approved the grades, the Department secretary will aggregate the data on the evaluations in accordance with 17.19 of the Collective Agreement. The original forms will then be returned to the instructor.
The summaries of aggregated data will be included in the instructor’s file for the purposes of performance review. The instructor may choose whether or not to submit the original evaluations, including anonymous comments, to the performance review process.

Those wishing to have a more thorough consideration of their teaching in performance review should consult the list of kinds of information that can be used as evidence of good teaching in the Faculty of Arts Handbook, appendix A section 2.2. (8.1 and 8.2 passed 12 April 2012).

9.0 SCHOLARSHIPS AND PRIZES

The following scholarships and prizes are currently associated with the Department. Click on the links in order to access the latest information about terms, value, and eligibility:

- Dorothy & Leon Goldman Award in English
- Dr. Eugene Dawson Scholarship
- Dr. Wilma Ebbitt Memorial Scholarship In English
- Elizabeth Blight Memorial Scholarship
- Ethel Toupin Memorial Scholarship in English
- L. G. Crossman Scholarship
- Leslie A. Dybvig Scholarship in English
- Lloyd Person Scholarship
- Orlene Murad Prize
- Royal Society of St George, Regina Branch Scholarship
- Sadie and Norman Ratner Prize in English
- SaskEnergy Aboriginal Scholarships
- SaskEnergy Scholarships (Entrance Students)
- SaskEnergy Scholarships (Undergraduate Continuing Students)
- Torville Honours Scholarships
- Torville Scholarships
9.1 Adjudication of Scholarships

Of those scholarships and prizes in the control of the Department, the Dorothy and Leon Goldman, Elizabeth Blight, L.G. Crossman, and Torville Honours Scholarships will be adjudicated by the Honours/Graduate Committee. The Dr. Wilma Ebbitt, the Ethel Toupin, the Sadie and Norman Ratner Prize, the Orlene Murad Prize, the Royal Society of St. George Scholarship, and the Torville Scholarships will be adjudicated by the Undergraduate Committee. The Lloyd Person and Eugene Dawson Scholarships will be adjudicated by the Honours/Graduate and Undergraduate Committees jointly. Subcommittees are normally formed to adjudicate awards when essays are submitted for assessment.

10.0 PERFORMANCE REVIEW

All members of the Department who are subject to performance review (those on permanent, probationary, and term appointments) should familiarize themselves with article 17 of the Collective Agreement and with the Criteria for Performance Review, constituting Appendix A in the latest edition of the Faculty of Arts Handbook.

All members of the Department being reviewed are strongly advised to submit the fullest possible evidence that they are fulfilling the duties appropriate to their rank.

In the Department, the Head is the first reviewer of performance after consultation with the Advisory Committee. (10.0 passed 12 April 2012).