APPENDIX

Clinical Psychology Program Department of Psychology University of Regina

September 2018 to August 2019 Program Review

Lynn Loutzenhiser, Ph.D., R. D. Psych

Director of Clinical Training

Overview of Review

CPA encourages us to evaluate our program on a regular basis. The purpose of this report is to review the goals, objectives and outcomes of the Clinical Psychology Program. Please send comments and feedback to lynn.loutzenhisert@uregina.ca.

Research

Research Goals: We strive to prepare students to have an understanding and respect for both basic and applied research. We subscribe to the views that the clinical scientist, who is competently trained in practice, makes the most significant contributions to clinical research; and the practitioner, who is familiar with the body of basic and applied research, and, who can critically evaluate research findings makes the soundest contributions to society and the profession.

Research Objectives: To meet the above goals, students: 1) take courses in research methods and statistics and gain experience in program evaluation; 2) take clinical courses that incorporate research literature; 3) complete an M.A. thesis and Ph.D. dissertation; and 4) participate in faculty research projects.

Outcomes: The followin	g are some indicators the	at represent how we	are doing in this area:
Outcomes, the following	g are some maleators and	at represent now we	are doing in this area.

	2011- 2012	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Research Methods course average	91%	94%	90%	88%	88%	90%	91%	91%
Statistics course average	88%	90%	89%	88%	90%	92%	93%	90%
Students with at least one conference presentation	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	75%	80%
Students with at least one refereed publication	69%	82%	89%	64%	70%	66%	56%	62%
Students holding RA positions*	73%	59%	57%	64%	56%	53%	36%	31%
Students with major external funding*, **	63%	56%	64%	39%	37%	47%	42%	40%
Students with Faculty of Graduate Studies & Research(FGSR) funding*	69%	74%	64%	69%	97%	93%	75%	66%

^{*} excludes students who are on or have completed the predoctoral residency

Clinical Practice

Clinical Practice Goals: Students will be trained to be competent in assessment, diagnosis, and intervention. In each area, students will gain competency in the development and maintenance of interpersonal relationships, including competency in working with diverse groups. It is recognized, however, that the field of clinical psychology is diverse and no single practitioner can master all areas. Students will be taught to recognize their skills and when appropriate refer to colleagues who have the requisite skills.

Clinical Practice Objectives: Students will meet the clinical goals by: 1) completing course work in psychopathology, assessment and interventions exposing students to more than one theoretical orientation and skills for working with both adults and children and diverse populations; 2) carrying out at least 2700 hours of clinical training under supervision; and 3) completing comprehensive exams that require an oral case presentation, a review paper on a clinical topic, and an ethics oral exam.

^{**}includes both tri-council funding and other major external funding

Outcomes: Some indicators of our success in this area are:

	2011- 2012	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
Psychopathology	87%	87%	91%	87%	87%	86%	87%	88%
Assessment I	87%	89%	87%	86%	87%	88%	90%	88%
Assessment II	88%	-	90%	-	88%	-	-	89%
Interventions I	86%	87%	85%	84%	87%	84%	88%	88%
Interventions II		87%	-	89%	-	91%	91%	93%
Percentage Students Matched (includes those matched through Phase II)	80% (73% of Canadian Students matched by APPIC)	100% (84% of Canadian students matched by APPIC)	100% (86% of Canadian students matched by APPIC)	100% (84% of Canadian students matched by APPIC)	100% (94% of Canadian students matched by APPIC)	100% (95% of Canadian students matched by APPIC)	100% (85% of Canadian students matched by APPIC)	100% (92% of Canadian students matched by APPIC

Predoctoral I	Resid	dency Sites
2019-2020		U of Manitoba Clinical Health
		London Clinical Psychology Consortium
		The Ottawa Hospital, Ottawa, ON
		Waterloo Region Psychology Consortium
		Edmonton Consortium, Edmonton, AB
		Saskatoon Health Region
2018-2019		University of Manitoba Clinical Health
		Nova Scotia Health Authority - Annapolis Valley
		University of Ottawa, Centre for Psychological Service
		Royal Ottawa Health Care Group
		Saskatoon Health Region
2017-2018		University of Manitoba-Clinical Health
		Psychology Internship-ROMHC Site, Ottawa, ON
		Nova Scotia Capital Dist Mental Health Internship
		Regina Qu'Appelle Health Region (primary rotation: WRC Children's Program)
2016-2017		Northern Ontario Psychology Internship Consortium, Thunder Bay, ON
		Calgary Clinical Psychology Program, Calgary AB
		Vancouver Coast, Vancouver, BC
		London Clinical Psychology Consortium, London, ON
2015-2016		University of Arizona College of Medicine
		Calgary Clinical Psychology Program
		London Clinical Psychology Consortium; Adult Mental Health Track
		Hospital for Sick Children Psychology Internship-Toronto, ON
		Annapolis Valley Health Psychology Internship- Kentville, NS
		Regina Qu'Appelle Health Region

2014-2015	Vancouver Coastal Health
	Royal Ottawa Health Care Group
	University of Washington
	Saskatoon Health Region
	Centre for Addiction & Mental Health - Clarke Division – Toronto, ON
	Regina Qu'Appelle Health Region
2013-2014	Vancouver Coastal Health
	Royal Ottawa Health Care Group
	Ottawa Hospital Health and Rehabilitation Psychology
	Regina Qu'Appelle Health Region (2)
2012-2013	Calgary Health Region
	Royal Ottawa Health Care Group
	Regina Qu'Appelle Health Region (primary rotation: Functional Rehab Program)
	Regina Qu'Appelle Health Region (primary rotation: WRC Children's Program)
2011-2012	University of Manitoba, Department of Clinical Health Psychology, Winnipeg, MB
	UBC Counselling Services
	BC Mental Health and Addiction Services, Clinical Child and Adolescent Track, BC
	Children's Hospital

Knowledge

Knowledge Goals: Students will gain a working understanding of biological, social, cognitive and affective bases of behaviour as well as individual differences, statistics and research methods. A more thorough knowledge of personality, psychopathology, assessment, diagnostics, intervention, ethics and professional behaviour will be obtained.

Knowledge Objectives: To meet the knowledge goals students: 1) complete course work at the graduate level in all of the above areas, 2) gain knowledge through clinical experiences, and 3) complete comprehensive exams.

Outcomes: Indicators of success are reflected in course work completion noted above but also in marks for the following courses:

	2011- 2012	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017- 2018	2018- 2019
803 (Psychometrics)	91%	-	91%	-	91%	-	91%-	93%
845 or 847 (Neuropsychology)	90%	82%	-	89%	-	89%	-	91%
881 (Information Processing)	88%	-	90%	-	89%	-	89%	
820 (Social)	-	88%		91%	89%	92%	-	91%

Ethics and Professional Conduct

Ethics and Professional Conduct Goals: Students will be prepared to be ethical and professional in their research, clinical, and teaching activities, and sensitive to issues of racial and cultural diversity and individual differences.

Ethics and Professional Conduct Objective: To meet the ethical goals of our program, students: 1) take a course in professional ethics, 2) are exposed to diverse clients at clinical training sites (over 2700 hours of clinical training), 3) complete an oral ethics exam as part of the comprehensive examination process, and 4) apply for ethics approval for M.A. and Ph.D. research.

Outcomes: This is measured through the following:

Leadership

Leadership Goals: Students will gain experiences that prepare them to take leadership roles and contribute to psychology as a profession.

Leadership Objectives: Students complete a seminar in professional issues at the PhD level and are encouraged to:

- 1) present and publish their work,
- 2) attend professional seminars and conferences when possible;
- 3) gain experience in supervision of junior students;
- 4) obtain experience as teaching assistants or sessional instructors;
- 5) be active in the department and the PGSA and the community, and
- 6) be members of the Canadian Psychological Association or other professional organizations.

Outcomes: Indicators of outcome in this area are seen through examination of students enrolled each year:

	2011- 2012	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016- 2017	2017-2018	2018-2019
Conference Presentations	92%	100%	100%	86%	89%	86%	75%	80%
Publications	69%	82%	89%	64%	63%	66%	56%	62%
TA/Sessional Positions	50%	56%	75%	64%	70%	75%	69%	54%
Professional Org Membership	92%	97%	100%	94%	93%	94%	81%	87%
Additional Workshops	90%	79%	93%	89%	67%	71%	69%	82%

Sample Positions after Graduation

- Psychologist, Child and Youth Services, Regina, SK
- Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, University of Regina
- Psychologist, Acute Care, Waterford Hospital, St. John's, NL
- Psychologist, Functional Rehab Program, Regina, SK
- Assistant Professor, Department of Clinical Health Psychology, University of Manitoba, and Staff Psychologist, Community Mental Health Program, Steinbach, MB
- Postdoctoral Fellow, CANH, now Psychologist, Royal Ottawa Mental Health Centre, Ottawa, ON
- Psychologist, Adult Mental Health, Regina, SK
- Assistant Professor, St. Thomas More College, Saskatoon, SK
- Psychologist, Oshawa Psychological and Counselling Services
- Ranch Ehrlo's Clinical Assessment and Resource Services
- Mental Health Practitioner, Edmonton North Primary Care Network
- Psychologist, St. John Psychology Centre
- Psychologist, Ottawa Institute for CBT
- Wascana Rehabiliation Centre, Children's Program
- Southport Psychology, Calgary Alberta
- Brief Intervention Unit, Vancouver Coastal Health
- Psychologist, Odyssey Health Services, Ottawa
- Psychologist, University Health Network Eating Disorder Program, Toronto
- Assistant Professor, U of Saskatchewan
- Clinical Psychologist, Alberta Health Services
- Mental Health and Addiction Services, Saskatoon Health Region

Graduate Survey

Past graduates of our PhD program completed a survey about our program. Below is a summary of feedback from this survey.

	2011-2012	2012- 2013	2013-2014	2014-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
To what extent did the	Completely –	Completely	Completely –	Completely -	Completely -	Completely -
program achieve its	60%	- 70%	50%	50%	33%	25%
goal to train you as a	Mostly – 40%	Mostly –	Mostly – 50%	Mostly - 50%	Mostly - 67%	Mostly -75%
scientist practitioner?		30%				
How would you rate the	Excellent –	Excellent –	Excellent –	Good - 100%	Excellent -	Excellent -
overall quality of the	40%	40%	60%		67%	25%
training you received?	Good – 60%	Good – 60%	Good – 40%		Good - 33%	Good - 75%
How prepared did you						
feel for:						
Conducting clinical	Great- 60%	Great –60%	Great –40%	Great - 50%	Great - 67%	Great - 50%
assessments	Good – 40%	Good – 40%	Good -50%	Good - 50%	Good - 33%	Good - 50%
			Fair-10%			
Conducting clinical	Great –40%	Great –40%	Great –40%	Good - 100%	Great - 67%	Great - 25%
interventions	Good – 60%	Good –60%	Good -50%		Good –33%	Good -75%
			Fair-10%			
Consulting with other	Great -50%	Great –50%	Great -50%	Good -50%	Great –67%	Great -50%
professionals	Good – 40%	Good -40%	Good –40%	Fair-50%	Good –33%	Good -50%
	Fair – 10%	Fair – 10%	Fair – 10%			
Conducting research	Great - 40%	Great - 40%	Great - 60%	Great - 100%	Great - 100%	Great - 100%
	Good - 50%	Good - 50%	Good - 40%			
	Fair - 10%	Fair - 10%				
Consuming research	Great - 90%	Great - 80%	Great - 70%	Great -100%	Great - 100%	Great - 100%
	Good - 10%	Good - 20%	Good -30%			

Teaching	Great - 40%	Great - 40%	Good - 40%	Good - 50%	Great - 67%	Good - 75%
reaching	Good - 20%	Good - 20%	Fair - 60%	Fair - 50%	Good - 33%	Poor - 25%
	Fair - 30%	Fair - 40%	1 411 0070	1 411 3070	3370	1 001 2570
	Poor -10%	1 4070				
Supervising clinical	Great - 20%	Great - 20%	Great - 10%	Good - 50%	Great - 33%	Good - 50%
work	Good - 40%	Good - 50%	Good - 70%	Fair - 50%	Good - 33%	Poor - 50%
	Fair - 20%	Fair - 20%	Fair - 20%		Poor - 33%	
	Poor - 20%	Poor - 10%				
Dealing with ethical	Great - 40%	Great -40%	Great - 20%	Good - 100%	Great - 33%	Good - 100%
issues	Good - 60%	Good -60%	Good - 80%		Good - 67%	
Working with diverse	Great - 50%	Great - 40%	Great - 30%	Good - 100%	Great - 33%	Good - 100%
clients	Good - 50%	Good - 60%	Good - 60%		Good - 67%	
			Fair - 10%			
In an overall sense, how	very satisfied -	very	very satisfied -	Mostly	very satisfied	very satisfied
satisfied are you with	30%	satisfied -	50%	Satisfied - 50%	- 67%	- 50%
the training you	mostly	30%	Mostly	Neutral - 50%	Mostly	Mostly
received?	satisfied - 70%	Mostly	Satisfied - 50%		Satisfied - 33%	Satisfied -
		Satisfied -				50%
		70%				
If a friend of yours was	Yes, definitely	Yes,	Yes, definitely	Yes, I think so	Yes, definitely	Yes, I think so
interested in attending	- 80%	definitely -	- 70%	- 100%	- 67%	- 100%
graduate school, would	Yes, I think so	80%	Yes, I think so		Yes, I think so	
you recommend our	- 20%	Yes, I think	- 30%		- 33%	
program?		so 20%				

Notable Strengths and suggestions for improvements – reported by students 2010-2018

STRENGTHS:

- Research
 - o Research education & training (11)
 - o Productive research lab (1)
 - Opportunities to attend conferences (1)
- Faculty and Supervisors
 - Supportive supervisor/faculty (6)
 - Supportive clinical supervisors (1)
 - Supportive DCT invested in program and student success (2)
 - Expertise and experience of the faculty (2)
 - o Personable program given small nature of program (3)
 - o Collaborative program incorporate input from students; students shaped program (2)
- Clinical Training
 - Diversity of clinical training experiences (adult, child, neuropsychological, clinic based, hospital based) (5)
 - o Felt prepared for internship & career (1)
 - Felt competitive with other students from other programs (1)
 - o Opportunity to supervise other students in clinical settings (1)
 - o Comps are helpful (2)
- Courses/Workshops
 - Quality of courses (2)
 - Exposed to supervision/consultation/interprofessional collaboration (1)
 - o Lots of additional training experiences available (e.g., symposium) (1)
- General Program
 - o Good balance of research & clinical training (4)
 - Accreditation (1)
 - o Small and cohesive (1)
 - Opportunities to teach undergraduate courses (1)

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENT

Courses

- Include actual clients in the intervention II class (2)
- Increase the difficulty of the neuropsychology course (e.g., measures, clinical presentations) (1)
- More information on consultative psychology throughout training (1)
- More emphasis on some other therapy approaches in the intervention ii class (e.g., DBT, motivational interviewing, schema therapy). (2)
- There is a very limited focus on children and families and a solid foundation for family therapy would be beneficial (1)
- Separate adult and child classes (1)
- More additional workshops (1)
- Examine case studies (1)
- Greater emphasis and provision of information about employment as psychologists; (1)
- Limited focus on marginalized populations first nations; people with disabilities (1)
- Providing students with the criteria used to decide course grades would help to give students a
 better sense of their strengths and weaknesses. Students would ultimately (maybe not
 immediately) benefit from a culture in which everyone hears about strengths and weaknesses
 (in practica and coursework and informally) from an early stage but, to build confidence, this is
 best done in a collegial atmosphere with an emphasis on positive changes observed.(1)

Clinical Training

- Ensure students get experience with diversity with real clients (1)
- Increased support for out of province practicum opportunities (1)
- Review of the process of how practicums are assigned to students (1)
- Master's intervention course could be more practical (2)
- More practica and clients during placement (3)
- Exposure to more complex and challenging cases in the later stages of the training would have aided in the transition to my current areas of practice (1)
- Providing more opportunities to carry long-term clients throughout training would have also been helpful. (1)
- adapting the training program to changing landscape of healthcare and the role of psychology in healthcare settings (1)
- Difficult to get enough client contact hours for APPIC (1)
- Better preparation for applying for residency (e.g., when speaking with graduates from other programs, they indicated that they have seminars dedicated towards informing students on how to apply, how to interview, how to write a good cover letter) (1)
- More clinical courses (1)

Research

- Implement strict deadlines for thesis (1)
- Closer tracking of progress on thesis/dissertation and more encouragement on supervisors to maintain schedule (students have limited influence). (2)

Administrative

- More independence from FGSR. Their annual progress reports were repetitive from those completed for our department and I never felt they understood the unique nature of our program to other Ph.D. programs. (1)
- Other programs reduce fees once student has completed their comprehensive exams, this would be a nice way to reduce the cost of the program. (2)
- Work towards guaranteed funding for incoming students (1)
- Process for providing anonymous feedback (1)

Financial Support

M.A. Funding

	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018
	(n=12)	(n=11)	(n=10)	(n=11)	(n=11)	(n=12)	(n=11)
Average level of income:	\$27,187	\$24,082	\$24,531	\$31,629	\$25, 358	\$18,359	\$17,508
# of students reporting income below \$10,000	1	2	0	0	0	2	1**
# of students reporting income between \$10,000- 19,999	0	2	3	0	3	2	8
# of students reporting income between \$20,000- \$29,999	8	4	4	6	3	7	2
# of students reporting income above \$30,000	3	3	3	5	3	0	0
% with external funding	50%	27%	50%	73%	45%	42%	36%
% with FGSR funding	83%	91%	100%	91%	100%	100%	55%
% who obtained TA funding	67%	73%	100%	100%	73%	100%	73%
% who obtained RA funding	92%	73%	70%	73%	73%	42%	9%
% who held outside employment	17%	27%	0	18%	36%	9%	0

^{*}this student was not eligible for internal funding

Ph.D. Funding

	2012-2013 (n=16)*	2013-2014 (n=16)	2014-2015 (n=17)*	2015-2016 (n=16)*	2017-2018 (n=20)*	2018-2019 (n=19)*
Average level of income:	\$27,596	\$41,789	\$32,175	\$29,190	\$31,502	27,939.26
# of students reporting income between \$0-19,999	3	0	1	2	5	6
# of students reporting income between \$20,000-\$29,999	4	4	7	7	11	6
# of students reporting income between \$30,000-\$39,999	6	3	5	3	3	3
# of students reporting income above \$40,000	3	9	4	4	1	4
% with major external funding	75%	75%	47%	38%	45%	47%
% with FGSR funding	59%	56%	71%	25%	75%	68%
% who obtained TA/sessional funding	41%	56%	41%	63%	60%	63%
% who obtained RA funding	47%	50%	65%	50%	45%	37%
% who held outside employment	12%	25%	41%	19%	30%	26%

^{*} excludes those who are on or have completed the pre-doctoral residency

Program Statistics

	2011- 2012	2012- 2013	2013- 2014	2014- 2015	2015- 2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019
Number of M.A. Students Admitted	6	5	5	6	6	7	7	7
MA Time to Completion (months)	23	23	24	24	27	25	23	N/A
Number of Ph.D. Students Admitted	8	4	6	5	5	4	7	4
Number of Ph.D. Graduates	3	4	6	7	5	7	3	7
PhD Time to Completion (months)	67	100	96.5	90	74	77	78	72

Faculty Statistics

racuity Statistics								
	U of R 2011-2012	U of R 2012-	U of R 2013-	U of R 2014-	U of R 2015-	U of R 2016-	U of R 2017-	U of R 2018=
		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Total number of core	10	9	9	9	12	12	11	9
faculty								
Total number of	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10
complementary faculty								
Total number of adjunct	22	26	21	21	22	22	22	22
faculty & professional								
associates								
Core faculty males	40%	33%	44%	44%	25%	25%	27%	44%
tenured								
Core faculty males non-	10%	11%	0%	0%	17%	17%	9%	0%
tenured								
Core faculty females	40%	44%	44%	44%	50%	41%	45%	44%
tenured								
Core faculty female non-	10%	11%	11%	11%	8%	17%	18%	11%
tenured								
Authors/co-authors of	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
papers at professional or								
scientific meetings								
Authors/co-authors of	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
articles in refereed								
journals								
Member of Journal	30%	33%	44%	30%	42%	58%	64%	56%
editorial board	2221	1000/	1000/	1000/	6=0/	1000/	1000/	1000/
Thesis supervisor	80%	100%	100%	100%	67%	100%	100%	100%
Thesis supervisor	20%	10%	20%	20%	10%	25%	13%	10%
(complementary faculty)	000/	000/	4000/	4000/	4000/	020/	040/	4000/
Recipients of grants or	90%	89%	100%	100%	100%	92%	91%	100%
contracts	4000/	4.000/	1000/	4000/	4000/	4.000/	4000/	4000/
Members in professional	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
associations	4000/	4.000/	1000/	4.000/	670/	020/	040/	040/
Engaged in professional	100%	100%	100%	100%	67%	92%	91%	91%
practice	4000/	4.000/	1000/	4.000/	4.000/	0.00/	4000/	1000/
Engaged in professional practice (adjunct)	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	100%	100%
	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/	1000/
Registered in program	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
jurisdiction	1000/	020/	960/	960/	0.00/	1000/	1000/	1000/
Registered in program jurisdiction (adjunct and	100%	92%	86%	86%	86%	100%	100%	100%
professional associates)								
professional associates)	1	1						

Course Offerings

Course Circinigs								
	U of R 2011- 2012	U of R 2012- 2013	U of R 2013- 2014	U of R 2014- 2015	U of R 2015- 2016	U of R 2016- 2017	U of R 2017- 2018	U of R 2018- 2019
Total number of core courses (neuro, cognitive, social, psychopathology, history)	3	5	2	4	4	3	3	5
Total number of foundational courses (ethics, research design, statistics, psychometrics, MA seminar)	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	3
Total number of professional courses (assessment I and II, interventions I and II, doctoral seminar)	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	3
# core faculty teaching core courses	20%	20%	11%	10%	8%	8%	9%	1
# core faculty teaching foundational courses	20%	50%	33%	20%	17%	17%	18%	2
# core faculty teaching professional courses	50%	75%	33%	30%	30%	33%	36%	4
# of complementary faculty teaching core courses	40%	80%	10%	30%	30%	20%	25%	4
# of complementary faculty teaching foundational courses	20%	50%	20%	10%	30%	10%	13%	1
# of complementary faculty teaching professional courses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# of adjunct teaching core courses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# of adjunct teaching foundational courses	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# of adjunct faculty and professional associates teaching professional courses	0	25%	0	0	0	0	0	0

Feedback Wanted

What are our strengths?

What are our weaknesses? What could we improve?

Please Send Feedback to:

Dr. Lynn Loutzenhiser, Associate Professor & Director of Clinical Training Department of Psychology, University of Regina, Regina, SK S4S 0A2 lynn.loutzenhiser@uregina.ca; (306) 585-4078