## Academic Unit Review Time Frame (as per policy OPS-130-005)

MONTH	CCAM / PROVOST'S OFFICE	UNIT
September/October	Initial meeting between the Associate Vice-President-Academic (AVP- A), Dean of the faculty, and department head where relevant, to review the responsibilities of the unit and the Provost's Office	
		Submit six names of potential external reviewers and suggest two names for internal reviewer to the AVP-A
November/December	Coordinate site visit and make travel arrangements	Compile self-study
January		Submit self-study
February/March	Send letters to individuals, groups, etc. requesting input into unit review	Provide contact list of individuals or groups who may be interested in providing input into unit review
	Develop itinerary and site visit schedule for external reviewers (at least 2 weeks before the site visit to the extent possible) Send notice of site visit to the university community inviting input (4 and 2 weeks prior to the site visit)	Develop site visit schedule in collaboration with the AVP-A
March/April	Send the itinerary and site visit schedule to review team members (minimum 2 days in advance)	_
	Site visit	

June	Unit review report received by the AVP-A from the chair of review		
	team		
	AVP-A shares the report with CCAM and the unit		
July-September		Unit prepares a written response	
		to the review report	
October/November	CCAM meets with the unit	Unit submits its written response	
	leadership to discuss the review	to the review report to the	
	report and the unit's response to	Provost's Office and CCAM at	
	the review report	least two weeks in advance of the	
		meeting with CCAM	
18 months		Within 18 months following the	
		completion of the review team's	
		report, submit a brief follow-up	
		report on the implementation of	
		the agreed review	
		recommendations using the	
		CCAM template	
	The Provost's Office/CCAM may		
	request further information and		
	want to meet with the unit		
	following the unit's 18 month-		
	follow up report		
5 years		Submit 5-year final written report	
		summarizing the results of the	
		review and original initiatives	
		undertaken in response to the	
		review recommendations.	

		I <del>.</del>
MONTH	CCAM / PROVOST'S OFFICE	UNIT
5-year end since the		SWOT analysis factors in to
start date of the last		whether the unit would ask for an
external review		internal review instead of an
		external review in the next 7-year
		academic unit review cycle
		Consultation with the Dean or
		Provost, as the case may be, if the
		unit wants an internal review
		If agreed by the Dean or Provost,
		the Dean or Provost makes a
		recommendation to CCAM to
		accept an internal review for the
		unit
	CCAM considers the Dean's or the	
	Provost's recommendation	
	regarding an internal review	
7-years since the		
last external review		
A new academic		
unit review cycle		
September/October		If there is an agreement for an
		internal review, the unit prepares
		a SWOT analysis report and sends
		names of four nominees for the
		review team. AVP-A creates an
		internal review team.
		If the review is an external review,
		follow the same external review
		process outlined above.
November		The unit submits the SWOT
		analysis report for the internal
		review team.

January	Review report received by the AVP-A from the internal review team AVP-A shares the report with CCAM and the unit	
February/March		Unit submits to CCAM its written response to the review
April/June	CCAM discusses the review report and may request a meeting with the unit. CCAM may also make recommendations based on the review report.	