Per section 5.14.1 of the Undergraduate Calendar, the Council Discipline Committee (CDC) hears appeals of decisions concerning academic and non-academic misconduct. CDC has the authority to uphold the original penalty, overturn it, assign a lesser penalty, or assign a more severe penalty.

During the 2014-15 academic year, CDC considered 14 appeals and 1 petition to return. 3 appeals remain to be heard in July and September.

Of the 14 appeals, 4 were associated with one course, and 1 case (marked below with an asterisk) was further appealed to the Senate Appeals Committee. The Senate Appeals Committee upheld the decision of CDC.

### CDC Comparison by Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Appeals</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Petitions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Circumstances of the Appeal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circumstances of the Appeal</th>
<th>Faculty Action</th>
<th>CDC Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cheated on a final exam</td>
<td>Grade of 0% on the final exam</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheated on a final exam</td>
<td>Grade of 0% on the final exam</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheated on a final exam</td>
<td>Grade of 0% on the final exam</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheated on a final exam</td>
<td>Grade of 0% on the final exam</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheated on a laboratory final exam</td>
<td>Grade of 0% for the course</td>
<td>Appeal remains to be heard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheated on a laboratory final exam</td>
<td>Grade of 0% for the course</td>
<td>Appeal remains to be heard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheated on an online midterm exam</td>
<td>Grade of 0% for the midterm exam</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarized an assignment</td>
<td>Grade of 0% for the course</td>
<td>Appeal remains to be heard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarized a paper</td>
<td>Grade of 0% for the assignment and a further reduction of 15% from the course grade</td>
<td>Academic misconduct substantiated; the CDC ameliorated the Dean’s decision and reduced the course grade by 10%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarized a paper</td>
<td>Grade of 0% for the assignment</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarized a paper</td>
<td>Reduction of 20% on the assignment</td>
<td>Academic misconduct not substantiated. The penalty for the assignment was removed and the paper graded in accordance with the grading rubric as used by the instructor for the course.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarized a paper</td>
<td>Grade of 0% on the assignment</td>
<td>The discipline action was ameliorated and a 20% reduction on the assignment was applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plagiarized a paper (repeated offence)</td>
<td>Grade of XF</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory performance of professional responsibilities</td>
<td>Expulsion from program</td>
<td>Faculty decision upheld.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petition to Return</td>
<td>CDC Outcome</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petitioned for permission to return to the University of Regina following a one year suspension for repeated instances of non-academic misconduct.</td>
<td>Petition granted.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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