
REGrets: P. LEAVITT | L. WATSON (LATE)

GUESTs: K. DORSCH & A. STEININGER

RESOURCE: S. HANSVALL

APPROVAL OF AGENDA
T. SALM/G. NOVOTNA

Moved that the agenda be approved as presented. CARRIED

APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES FROM OCTOBER 6, 2021
C. RAMSAY/A. EATON

Moved that the minutes from October 6, 2021, be approved as presented. CARRIED

BUSINESS ARISING

- No update to report

VP RESEARCH REPORT (C. YOST)

- Good year in Research, despite pandemic
- Tri-Agency Funding
  - With 15 Universities in Canada this is the primary funding and determines a number of awards. This affects the research support fund and funds such things as office space, library resource, hire a student, etc.
  - Shared at Townhall - launch of pilot program
    - For every Tri Agency grant of $100K can receive an additional $10K from institution, if received well then it will continue.
    - This will relieve pressure off the Deans receiving teaching release requests.
- **Cohort Programs**
  - Designing a mid-career program that will be somewhat different.
  - Rolling out entrepreneurship which will be an opportunity for students to think early on what it is they want to do with their careers.

- **Budget**
  - Continue seeing growth across graduate students, would like to see grad students at UR at 20%.

- **Action Plan to release in January 2022**
- Canada Research Council recently received $70K, with Dr. Tibo, MAP as a new member.

**REMARKS FROM CHAIR (S. HIRANI)**
- Thanks for participating and feedback.
- Quick round of introductions and introduction of Florence, ADR in Nursing and a new member on CCR.

**REB UPDATE (K. DORSCH & A. STEININGER)**
- Updating application form
- Converis partially built
- Call sent to researchers to volunteer to test the Converis build, received great uptake but could use some input from MAP.
- REB presentations for Grad Students – have attended to present for Computer Science, Kinesiology, MAP, FGSR, these are available by recording if interested.
- Pilot process to review a subset of minimal risk applications > very low risks, anonymous surveys and qualitative studies that do not get into sensitive issues. The goal is to speed up the process for undergrad/grad/honors students.
- Flow Chart - added to website
- Website – is a work in progress, guidelines have been development re: ethical implications
- Kim completed training and looks forward to working and strengthen relationships.
- Dr. Yost updated EoC re: REB structure
- A. Steininger, S. Gray, K. Dorsch to discuss next steps to assist Dr. Yost in proceeding with the recommendations made to the REB.
- K. McNutt – how will membership be handled, previously has been in violation based on the report, re: lawyers on board?
  - C Yost:
    - The proposed structure does not currently meet the TCSP2 guidelines.
    - Recently have brought 2 lawyers on board, they have not yet begun reviewing files.
    - The community side members is still lacking.
    - Members still needs to be determined how many participants to have on the committee.
  - K McNutt:
    - Is there a collegial process put in place or being considered as the report?
    - Would like to see the next steps for CCR meeting (January 2022).
  - S. Gray:
    - Terms of reference and composition of members, love to hear recommendations on recruiting members, selecting community members has always been a bit of shoulder tapping.
  - G. Novotna:
    - Requests information on turnaround time for applications, as this is helpful to students especially those with small research projects.
- **C Yost:**
  - Requested there be a dashboard used for reporting to CCR showing accountability with an established benchmark.

- **K. Dorsch:**
  - Open to discuss the process or application review process.

**CHALLENGES WITH HONORARIUMS & FINANCIAL SERVICES – CRA (C.YOST)**

- This is a larger discussion as it will not affect everyone.
- Interested to have an ad hoc working group - Chris to chair and requests those interested to join.
  - Andrew, & Natalie to join

**GATE PROPOSAL (A. DOUAI)**

- Initiative to engage the community.
- This has been very student centered, seeking transform to aligns with UR priorities.
- VPR has established centers, missing is the arm that provides training, innovation, financial support, city partnership, indigenous partnership.
- The goal is to provide training and be sustainable in providing consistent training to students.
- Proposal to go through JSGS Council, welcome input for the proposal.
- Due to P. LEAVITT absence his questions have been emailed to A. DOUAI for a response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. HOEBER</td>
<td>- Would like to see more supports in social innovation, thinks this is the hardest to put into practice, consider work with Mitacs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. XUE</td>
<td>- Brilliant idea to have this center and commercialize their ideas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Part 6 re: funding – charge an annual professional fee to students, bit confusing how students submit fee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. DOUAI</td>
<td>- All professional development training is covered through fees annually, build a robust training and programs through a fee, in return grad students can benefit from workshop with no fee, build in the ability for students to return to take workshops, upgrade training with no extra fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. WATSON</td>
<td>- In agreement with P. LEAVITT that a more detailed budget and forecast is important, as well as plans around what will happen if fundraising /sponsorship goals aren’t met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- There is also room for Enactus Regina (which has traditionally been an undergraduate group, but need not be limited in that way to get involved, they have a sustainable focus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. SCHNEIDER</td>
<td>- Request for further discussion re: indigenous and potential partners who have very little connection to the communities they are representing, good to discuss who the key targets to engage, indigenous initiatives will be done in conjunction with FNU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. MCNUTT</td>
<td>- Economic development and well established in the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T. GRANDE</td>
<td>- Promising initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Has there been ANY consultation with CERC?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- T. Grande to send introductory email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. YOST</td>
<td>- This will need to go to EoC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ensure there is enough info to proceed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Address Peter’s questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Suggestion is to table this item, with voting done via email to prepare for EoC deadline</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **VOTING TO TAKE PLACE VIA EMAIL ON THIS ITEM**

- **DECISION: TO FURTHER DISCUSS PROPOSAL AT THE NEXT CCR MEETING (January 2022) PRIOR TO PRESENTING TO EoC.**
CCR & RESEARCH MISCONDUCT POLICY (K. MCNUTT)

- Document distributed to committee during meeting, missing in meeting material
- Softens the policy
- Report was previously submitted to EoC in 2019 (to be distributed to group).
- Notes included in document made it unclear what was needing approval.

Motion made to bring this item back to CCR in January 2022 so updates can be made and reflected in the document along with a redline document

ALL IN FAVOR

ROUND TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C. BRADLEY</td>
<td>- Last week the library sent out emails re: open access and received a lot of responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Bring open access back to CCR for discussion, willing to come out and provide presentation and Q&amp;A re: how institution is situated with open access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. MCNUTT:</td>
<td>Data - Add to Jan meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. SCHNEIDER</td>
<td>- Indigenous led research &gt; could have a discussion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. MCNUTT:</td>
<td>Suggest holding off on this conversation as Dr. Campbell’s office is hiring Research Facilitator, strategy on indigenous engagement, let this person get settled then have them participate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. PARANJAPAE</td>
<td>- Good news McLean’s did ranking on Engineering school and undergrad program representation, came in at 14 and research representation as 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Developing symposium re: Resilience in Infrastructure (Nov. 26), email distributed but wanted to share, members of the community to speak re: SaskTel, SaskPower, CoR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OTHER BUSINESS
- None to report

NEXT MEETING
The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, January 5, 2022, at 10:30 a.m. - 12:00 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT
Shela moved that the meeting be adjourned, with a reminder that further discussion re: Gate Proposal motion and would circulate via email | Seconded by A. Douai at 11:48 a.m.

Meeting Minutes – S. Hansvall