
Resource: P. Splett (Research Office), recorder

Regrets: A. Miller, S. Petty, M. Sanchez

1. Approval to record meeting for more detailed minutes.

2. Introductions

3. Approval of Agenda
   Yost/McNutt - moved approval of the agenda CARRIED
   And move Item 8 to Item 4

8. Discussion and Motion on CRC Policy for failed searches and revised MAP LOI
   The Faculty of Media, Art, and Production had a failed CRC last year.
   Request permission to revise CRC call. The new CRC in creative practices widens the call and builds on what the faculty is currently working on – community engaged practices.

   1. Discussion on new MAP CRC LOI
      Background on previous search.
      - The CCR committee approved a MAP CRC 2017
      - First CRC search for Tier II – Indigenous and Territorial Practice and Creation.
      - The pool for this search was shallow and very competitive for universities.
      - The VPR has allowed this new search to come forward to the CCR committee to approve.

      New MAP CRC Proposal: Socially-Engaged Creative Practices

      Comments on Proposal:
      - VPR approved new MAP CRC to come forward to CCR for approval.
-This new call has a broader scope, therefore a larger pool to recruit from.
- Call is open to Non-Indigenous applicants.
- Aligns with MAP’s policies and core values.

**Motion:** *CCR supports re-casting of CRC program.*

Zilles/Grande - moved approval of new CRC CARRIED

Discussion on Motion:
- Committee likes the new equity, diversity and inclusion focus.
- The new search aligns with the faculty’s policies and core values.
- The faculty of MAP has been successful in reviving the arts.
- The faculty has good community based research.

2. Discussion on how to deal with failed CRC searches
   - Scenario 1 – Have a candidate and candidate failed
   - No appropriate candidates

The policy needs guided principles with strict timelines. Once there is a clean copy, a failed search guideline will be added. A new guideline for failed searches deter an empty chair spot and allow to bring back to the competition. There is also a need to provide proper supports from the faculty in order to recruit CRC’s.

Scenario 1 and 2
The unit would send a draft to CCR for a recommendation explaining why the search failed and their solution on how it can succeed,
If it is not recommended, then the call will go into open competition.

**Motion:** “For Failed CRC’s, the unit will draft a document to CCR with reasons the search failed and a solution to how it will succeed a second time and CCR will make a decision and provide a recommendation to the VPR.”

Irfan/Ibrahim – moved approval of motion CARRIED

4. **Approval of the Minutes from October 9, 2019**
Grande/Bradley – moved approval of the minutes CARRIED

5. **Chair’s Report (C. Yost)**
   Business Arising: - No moodle sent out by the chair for agenda items due to the full agenda.
a) Action Item
   - Met with the President Timmons. Agreed that in the future VPR search, the vpr office to be within the presidents’ suite to mesh teaching and research together.

b) Sheila Petty is a new elected council member and accepted by the Executive of Council.

c) Strategic Plan Committee – engaging more students in research.
   CCR elected council members and chair met with the Strat plan committee and discussed the importance of research to be part of the strategic plan.
   Goal: 25% of undergrads involved in research by 2025

d) Grad students important for the Strategic Plan and the funding around graduate students with the new formula. (March 2020 CCR meeting).

e) Encourage university community engagement in the Town Halls December 10 and 11.

f) Next CCR meeting January 8, 2020 at 10am

6. Vice-President (Research) Report (K. McNutt)

   a) Research Impact (Appendix B)
      Comments:
      The report could contain both research highlights and research challenges.
      Research analytics used must be compatible with other systems on campus.

      CCR Next Steps
      - VPR to takes this to the board identifying metrics

   b) Open Access Publishing (Appendix C)
      The university needs a strategy for the significant investment needed to purchase.
      CCR can ask for a budget request.

      Bring this item forward to next meeting and an update from the library.

7. Terms of Reference for CCR Committee

   a) CCR membership vs CCAM and CCB on campus
      - Extend Chair and elected council to 4 years.
      - The TOR seem to allow for a bit of flexibility

   b) Membership
      - Increase number of council members
      - Difficulty to have quorum if the Assoc Deans of Research are reduced.
      - Inequality of voting rights.
      - Elected members could replace the Associate Dean
      - 1 representative - elected or appointed or associate dean (research)
      - We need a plan for a diverse committee
      - Chair to draft for next meeting to extend elected members to 4 years.
      - Motion for January CCR meeting
c) Role with centre reviews
   - Tabled for next meeting.

9. Research Revenue Statistics (S.Gray)
   Contract research using CAUBO date for 9 years of funding
   Upward trend on the last 2 columns
   CIF is not going in the right direction
   Need to succeed as a group and with Tri-Council
   CFI – used a recruitment
   CFI funding is running out
   Need to prepare
   How to increase Tri-Council funding
   CFI – Identify big project to prepare well in advance.
   Next Agenda – bring forward to January 2020 agenda.

10. Business Arising
    - REB slow – 3 to 4 weeks
    - Average time of REB application from start to completion – next meeting

Adjournment
    Pitariu/Zilles – moved to adjourn.