CRITERIA DOCUMENT FOR FACULTY MEMBERS AND INSTRUCTORS

TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR

ASSIGNMENT OF DUTIES,
PERFORMANCE REVIEW,
CAREER PROGRESS, AND
SABBATICALS

Revised May 2017 (draft version 2017.05.07)
TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES 1

2. DESCRIPTION OF DUTIES 1
   TEACHING AND RELATED DUTIES 2
   RESEARCH AND SCHOLARSHIP 2
   SERVICE 3

3. RANKS OF ACADEMIC STAFF MEMBERS 3
   INSTRUCTOR 3
   LECTURER 4
   ASSISTANT PROFESSOR 4
   ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR 5
   PROFESSOR 5

4. ASSIGNMENT OF DUTIES 6
   BALANCED PROFILE 7
   TEACHING-FOCUSED PROFILE 8
   RESEARCH-FOCUSED PROFILE 8
   SERVICE-FOCUSED PROFILE 9
   NEW-FACULTY PROFILE 9
   PRINCIPLES AND PROCESS 10

5. PERFORMANCE REVIEW 12
   INITIAL REVIEWER 12
   FACULTY REVIEW COMMITTEE 12
   TEACHING AND RELATED DUTIES 13
   RESEARCH AND SCHOLARSHIP 14
   SERVICE 16
6. CAREER PROGRESS 17
   INCREMENTS 17
   MERIT 18
   TENURE 19
   PROMOTION IN THE INSTRUCTOR CATEGORY 21
   PROMOTION IN THE FACULTY CATEGORY 22

7. SABBATICALS 25
1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

This document is guided by and is consistent with the relevant sections of the 2014-2017 University of Regina Faculty Association (URFA) Collective Agreement that pertain to descriptions and assignment of duties, performance review, career-progress decisions, and sabbaticals for academic staff members who are in the instructor (Instructor I, II, and III) and faculty (Lecturer, Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, and Professor) ranks. The Collective Agreement provides the context for this document, which provides the criteria, arrived at in a collegial manner, on which to base recommendations and decisions on assignment of duties, performance review, increments, merit, tenure, promotion, and sabbatical.

In the remainder of this document, unless otherwise stated, a reference to an “Article” refers to the Collective Agreement and one to a “Section” refers to this Criteria Document.

In accordance with Articles 17.1 and 18.1, performance review of academic staff members forms the basis for career-progress decisions concerning increments, merit, promotion, renewal of a tenure-track appointment, and tenure. Consequently, it is incumbent on those involved in the performance review process to carry out their duties with integrity and seriousness, in a fair and unbiased manner.

2. DESCRIPTION OF DUTIES

This section summarizes language in the Collective Agreement that is relevant to the description of duties at an institutional level. Details of the Faculty of Science description of duties are found in Section 5.

In accordance with Article 16.1, the duties of a faculty member shall normally include:

a) teaching and related duties;

b) scholarship, research, and creative or equivalent professional activities; and

c) service.
The duties of an instructor shall normally include:
   a) teaching and related duties; and
   b) service.

2.1 TEACHING AND RELATED DUTIES

As per Article 16.2.2, teaching and related duties are those activities in which academic staff members prepare, deliver, or support the curriculum, including:
   • contributions to the creation, content, implementation and delivery of graduate and undergraduate academic courses;
   • being accessible to students for consultation and mentorship;
   • the supervision of undergraduate and graduate students;
   • all other activities in which members engage to prepare and deliver curriculum.

2.2 RESEARCH AND SCHOLARSHIP

As per Article 16.2.3, research, scholarship, and creative or professional activities refer to those original intellectual and creative works that include the following, but may include additional activities if agreed to by the Faculty:
   • intellectual and creative contributions to research and scholarship and critical or creative work;
   • dissemination of such work through publications, presentation of scholarly papers;
   • exhibitions and performances, and other means;
   • community-engaged scholarship and the particular forms of dissemination that stem from it;
   • peer review or other forms of engagement with the scholarly work of others;
   • the research component of the supervision of student research and theses;
• seeking external research funding as appropriate to the discipline and the member’s research profile;
• the scholarship of teaching, which consists of original and innovative thought and analysis related to pedagogy and/or learning.

2.3 SERVICE

As per Article 16.2.4, *service activities* refer to those works that contribute to the University or relevant external communities, but may also include additional activities if agreed to by the Faculty and includes:

• internal and external activities that arise from research and teaching;
• participation in committees at the department level, faculty level, university level, and URFA level;
• responsibilities of Department Head, Director of a faculty-based research institute, or Director of any other formalized university centre or institute;
• involvement in the work of learned societies, associations, agencies, or professional organizations;
• involvement with the community-at-large by virtue of the general or specialized academic expertise of the individual academic staff member.

3. RANKS OF ACADEMIC STAFF MEMBERS

3.1 INSTRUCTOR

The responsibilities of an Instructor are normally teaching and related duties, and service. In accordance with Article 13.5, the rank of Instructor at the time of the initial appointment is determined by the credentials and experience of the individual.
**Instructor I**  The academic staff member possesses, at a minimum, a Bachelor’s degree or equivalent professional experience;

**Instructor II**  The academic staff member possesses, at a minimum, a Master’s degree and has relevant teaching experience, or possesses a four-year Bachelor’s degree and has four years of relevant teaching experience;

**Instructor III**  The academic staff member possesses a doctorate or equivalent and some relevant teaching experience, or possesses a Master’s degree and has five years of relevant teaching experience.

### 3.2 LECTURER

The rank of Lecturer requires a minimum of a Master’s degree or equivalent. The responsibilities of a Lecturer are normally teaching and related duties, research and scholarship, and service. The designated profile of a Lecturer is teaching-focused. The expectations in research and scholarship, while consistent with those listed in Section 2.2, are to focus on activities that ensure currency and engagement in the discipline, especially pedagogy. Initially, service contributions should be kept as participatory to allow for the development of the faculty member’s teaching and scholarly profile, after which a full service load is expected of a Lecturer.

### 3.3 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR

The rank of Assistant Professor requires a minimum of a Ph.D. or equivalent. An Assistant Professor is expected to be an effective teacher at all levels in the discipline. As well, an Assistant Professor is expected to engage in active research (as defined in Section 5.4) that goes beyond the work in which the member was involved during their graduate and postdoctoral studies. Evidence that incumbents have developed research identities that are separate from their previous supervisory influences, and are developing a clearly identifiable, self-supporting research program, is a requirement of individuals at the Assistant Professor rank.
Accounting for normal time delays in publication, there is an expectation that refereed publications from previous research will appear on record in the first few years. Refereed publications appearing from work initiated at the University of Regina should also appear on record in the first few years.

Service contributions are expected, but should initially be kept as participatory to allow for the development of the faculty member’s teaching and research profiles.

3.4 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

The rank of Associate Professor indicates a faculty member who has an ongoing, demonstrated record of effective teaching at all levels assigned and who is making ongoing contributions to research and scholarship consistent with the member’s designated profile. For Associate Professors with designated profiles requiring peer-reviewed publications, external research funding, and the supervision or co-supervision of graduate students, the record in these areas is expected to be sufficiently strong to maintain an ongoing, viable research program. Active involvement in the administrative infrastructure of the department and participation in the administrative service to the Faculty and the University should be evident and at a level compatible with their designated profiles.

3.5 PROFESSOR

The rank of Professor is awarded to a faculty member who has a cumulative and ongoing record of strong performance in teaching, research and scholarship, and service, consistent with the member’s designated profile. Professors show evidence that indicates strong peer respect in their areas of expertise, as well as evidence of national or international recognition. For Professors with designated profiles requiring peer-reviewed publications, external research funding, and the supervision or co-supervision of graduate students, the record in these areas is expected to be sufficiently strong to continue maintaining an ongoing, self-supporting research program. In these cases,
Professors are expected to be active as reviewers of peer-reviewed publications and as external referees for Ph.D. theses and granting agencies. The record of a Professor should show evidence of ongoing, active involvement and at least occasional leadership in administrative service to the Department, Faculty, and University at a level compatible with their designated profile. Professors are expected to serve on senior academic administrative bodies and act as representatives for the University and their subdisciplines.

A Professor should be a resource to academic staff members in the other ranks, providing mentoring and support to those moving through the ranks. Evidence of mentoring includes guiding newer faculty in effective teaching, publishing articles in refereed journals, and grant writing.

4. ASSIGNMENT OF DUTIES

In accordance with Article 16.3, the duties of academic staff members, which may vary over time, shall be assigned in a fair and equitable manner taking into account that expectations may vary according to the member’s position or rank. This section provides clarity and direction for the assignment of duties in a manner, consistent with Article 16.3, that will:

- help determine an appropriate share of obligations that is equitable in comparison with the contributions of other members at a similar rank;
- allow the duties of academic staff members to vary over time, with differences in the array and mix of duties, while maintaining an equitable workload;
- ensure that the numerous factors involved, as listed in Article 16.1 (and Section 2), are considered in the array and mix of duties for academic staff members.

For the assignment of duties to faculty members, profiles assist in understanding the nature and distribution of an individual faculty member’s duties with respect to teaching and related activities,
research and scholarship, and service. The Dean in consultation with the faculty member and Department Head will ensure that the designated profile is appropriate for each member.

In what follows, the base teaching load in a given Science department is defined to be the number of courses (undergraduate and, in some departments, graduate) that a faculty member with a balanced profile is expected to teach per year in that department.

4.1 BALANCED PROFILE

A faculty member has a balanced profile if he or she:
• engages in active research (as defined in Section 5.4);
• has a record of peer-reviewed publications within the previous three years;
• has attracted external research funding during the previous five years; and
• has supervised to completion, as the primary supervisor or co-supervisor, at least one thesis-based University of Regina graduate student within the previous five years.

The duties of a faculty member with a balanced profile consist of:
• a normal teaching load, relative to the base teaching load in the Department;
• active research (as defined in Section 5.4);
• the application for external funding;
• the supervision of thesis-based graduate students;
• the supervision of undergraduate research students, if applicable;
• the supervision of postdoctoral researchers, if applicable;
• institutional service contributions, as per Department expectations and needs; and
• service.
4.2 TEACHING-FOCUSED PROFILE

A faculty member has a teaching-focused profile if he or she:
- is at the rank of Lecturer; or
- has a greater emphasis on teaching than a member with a balanced profile.

The duties of a faculty member with a teaching-focused profile consist of:
- an augmented teaching load (by one or more courses), relative to the base teaching loads in the Department;
- research or scholarship (as defined in Section 2.2) that ensures currency and engagement in the discipline, especially pedagogy;
- peer-reviewed publications, if applicable;
- supervision of thesis-based graduate students, if applicable;
- supervision of undergraduate research students, if applicable;
- institutional service contributions, as per Department expectations and needs; and
- service.

4.3 RESEARCH-FOCUSED PROFILE

A faculty member has a research-focused profile if he or she:
- holds a research chair (e.g., Canada Research Chair, NSERC Industrial Chair); or
- holds a prestigious research award (e.g., Killam Fellowship, Fulbright Scholar, NSERC Steacie Award).

The duties of a faculty member with a research-focused profile consist of:
- a reduced teaching load (by one or more courses), relative to the base teaching loads in the Department;
- active research (as defined in Section 5.4), with increased expectations in comparison with the balanced profile;
- continuous external funding;
the supervision of thesis-based graduate students;
the supervision of undergraduate research students, if applicable;
the supervision of postdoctoral researchers, if applicable;
institutional service contributions, as per Department expectations and needs; and
community or professional service.

4.4 SERVICE-FOCUSED PROFILE

A faculty member has a service-focused profile if he or she:
- is a Department Head; or
- holds a significant leadership role in a major internal or external body (e.g., URFA President or Chief Negotiator, Director or Associate Director in a tri-council agency); or
- has a greater emphasis on service than a member with a balanced profile.

The duties of a faculty member with a service-focused profile consist of:
- a reduced teaching load (by one or more courses), relative to the base teaching load in the Department, in the case of department heads and members with significant leadership role in a major internal or external body;
- active research (as defined in Section 5.4), with reduced expectations in comparison with the balanced profile; and
- service contributions (as defined in Section 2.3), with increased expectations in comparison with the balanced profile.

4.5 NEW-FACULTY PROFILE

A faculty member has a new-faculty profile if he or she:
- holds a tenure-track appointment at the Assistant, Associate, or Professor rank, but has not yet been awarded tenure.
The duties of a faculty member with a new-faculty profile consist of:

- a normal base teaching load, which is reduced by one or more courses in the first year of the appointment;
- application for external research funding;
- the development of a research identity that is separate from their previous supervisory influences, towards the goal of developing a clearly identifiable, self-supporting research program;
- participation in supervisory committees of thesis-based graduate students;
- supervision or co-supervision of thesis-based graduate students;
- supervision of undergraduate research students, if applicable;
- the supervision of postdoctoral researchers, if applicable; and
- service contributions that are participatory in the first two years, with increasing engagement thereafter.

### 4.6 PRINCIPLES AND PROCESS

The Faculty of Science adopts the following principles in assigning duties and in designating profiles:

- that the duties of a faculty member may vary over time;
- that leaves (parental, compassionate, medical, etc.) may delay research progress;
- that certain research work may require more than three years to complete or publish;
- that the work of an academic unit shall be distributed in a fair and equitable manner among the academic staff members in the unit;
- that decisions on profile designation shall be free of bias based on discipline and free of discrimination as defined in Article 3.1 which states:

  Discrimination is the harmful treatment of an individual or group, based on certain personal characteristics. The Saskatchewan Human Rights Code identifies these characteristics: religion, creed, marital status, family status,
sex, sexual orientation, disability, age, colour, ancestry, nationality, place of origin, race or perceived race, and receipt of public assistance.

- that all aspects of the academic work (teaching, research, and service) shall be recognized and valued;
- that annual performance evaluation shall be based on assigned duties, so that a faculty member, regardless of designated profile, will be evaluated fairly and will have equal opportunity for career growth;
- that the work allocated to a faculty member shall be enriching and rewarding;
- that the designated profile for a faculty member shall take advantage of particular strengths and interests in certain aspects of the member’s work life.
- that the designated profile of a faculty member shall reflect the overall mission of the academic unit and the University; and
- that no specific profile designation shall be considered to be superior to another.

The Dean, in consultation with the Department Heads, assigns the initial profile designations to individual faculty members. Over time these designations may change as the careers and career-interests of faculty members evolve. As per Article 16.3.2, an academic staff member may apply to the Dean for a change in the array and mix of duties. The request shall take into account the needs of both the member and the unit. Thus, a faculty member may request a change in profile designation by submitting a formal written request to the Dean, copied to the Department Head.

The profile designation of a faculty member will be reviewed (and possibly changed) at the instigation of the faculty member, the Department Head, or the Dean. The Dean or Department Head will only suggest a review in response to a long-term trend in the faculty member’s record of work.
Decisions or recommendations on profile designations will not be in the purview of the Faculty Review Committee.

5. **PERFORMANCE REVIEW**

Performance Review in the Faculty of Science is guided by Article 17. Performance review is based on teaching and service in the case of instructors, and on teaching, research, and service in the case of faculty members. In all instances, performance review must take into account the assigned duties of the academic staff members.

5.1 **INITIAL REVIEWER**

The Initial Reviewer is normally the head of the academic staff member’s department. However, if there is the potential for a real or perceived conflict of interest (e.g., a family relationship), then an alternate initial reviewer will be designated by the Dean. The associate deans serve as initial reviewers of department heads.

5.2 **FACULTY REVIEW COMMITTEE**

The Faculty Review Committee is advisory to the Dean on decisions pertaining to the career progress, performance review, and sabbaticals of instructors and faculty members.

The Review Committee consists of one regular member and one proxy member from each department, elected for two-year terms (normally) by tenured and tenure-track instructors and faculty members in the Faculty of Science. Faculty members may serve multiple terms as regular members of the Faculty Review Committee; however, no faculty member shall serve two consecutive terms in this capacity. Proxy members, whose role is to assume the work of the regular departmental member who is unavailable or unable to undertake the work of the committee, may serve consecutive terms.

Nominees for service on the Faculty Review Committee must be tenured faculty members, excluding department heads; faculty
members from Campion, Luther, or First Nations University will not be considered as candidates for the Faculty Review Committee. According to Article 17.3, out-of-scope faculty members are not eligible to serve on the Faculty Review Committee.

The regular members of the Faculty Review Committee elect a Chairperson at the commencement of the first meeting after the election of the committee members.

5.3 TEACHING AND RELATED DUTIES

In the Faculty of Science, effective teaching:

- prepares and inspires students to be independent learners,
- motivates students to fully comprehend important issues in their chosen fields of study,
- fosters the development of scientific skill and thought,
- develops critical and analytical thinking skills,
- stimulates scientific curiosity,
- introduces students to scientific research,
- instills an understanding and appreciation of the direct linkage that exists between research and instruction, and
- enables students to build on and transfer learning from previous courses and to move readily into areas of new related content.

Effective teachers:

- demonstrate the ability to present the subject matter clearly, logically, and at an appropriate level for the class,
- grade fairly following the University’s Grading System (Section 5.10 of the University Calendar),
- give prompt, constructive, and substantial feedback on student work,
- follow the approved curricula, where applicable, for their courses,
- demonstrate enthusiasm for the subject and have the ability to communicate this enthusiasm to the students,
• are well-prepared for their classes,
• are available to students outside of regular lectures, through posted scheduled office hours, and
• treat students fairly and equitably, and accommodate special needs or problems whenever reasonably possible.

Effective teaching is a requirement of academic staff members in the Faculty of Science. Therefore, there must be a consistent method of evaluating teaching on a regular basis. The Faculty of Science uses the following three sets of materials to evaluate effective teaching:

1. written assessments by the Department Head or a designate, which are optional for tenured academic staff and mandatory for tenure-track and term academic staff;
2. a teaching dossier, prepared by the academic staff member; and
3. course evaluations by students, despite their limitations.

Teaching at the university level includes the selection, preparation, and presentation of lecture and laboratory materials and the preparation and evaluation of assignments and examinations. In the sciences, teaching may also include the design and overall supervision of laboratories associated with courses.

5.4 RESEARCH AND SCHOLARSHIP

Whether in an applied field or an area of basic research, research and scholarship in the Faculty of Science involves contribution of new knowledge (i.e., research) and scholarly dissemination of knowledge (e.g., refereed articles, books, review articles) in the respective disciplines.

Research activity and productivity are relatively easy to document; their evaluation is more difficult. The publication of research results in books and papers, or presentations at conferences are easily documented. Evidence of prestige among colleagues in the international scientific community is also a useful yardstick of research ability. Invitations to present papers, chair conference sessions,
participate in symposia, or referee papers and research grant applications, provide supporting evidence of scholarly recognition. The latter may not be apparent in a female colleague’s record as a result of gender bias.

A simple counting of publications without assessment of their quality is not a sufficient evaluation, and indeed may be misleading. Consequently, care must be exercised in evaluating publications. In most cases, publication is the final stage of a research project and provides the permanent record of that particular scholarly achievement. Peer evaluation of such work is critical and faculty members must publish in peer-reviewed media acceptable to their disciplines.

Collaboration is valued, especially that which involves students and others under the supervision of the member. The member should be prepared to clearly explain their role in all collaborations.

Excellent students are an asset and are to be recruited to provide momentum and a team environment leading to the development of a strong research program. Not all disciplines have the same student pool size from which to recruit or the same access to funding, and so expectations about the number of graduate students supervised will vary accordingly.

In the Faculty of Science, *active research* entails the completion of these linked activities:

a. the research work involved in the contribution of new knowledge,

b. the dissemination of the results of (a) in the form of refereed articles, books, or reviews.

The research work:

- is disseminated through peer-reviewed publication; or
- is disseminated through the presentation of scholarly papers; or
• may involve the peer review of or other forms of engagement with the scholarly work of others (e.g., editorial duties); or
• may include the supervision of student research and theses; or
• may contribute to the scholarship of scientific teaching, which consists of original and innovative thought and analysis related to the pedagogy of learning.

Peer-reviewed publication constitutes evidence of active research or scholarship. Prolonged absence (three or more years) of peer-reviewed publications, without a detailed justification of the circumstances involved that led to this absence, will be interpreted to indicate a lack of research activity. If a faculty member has a designated profile requiring active research, the profile designation will be reviewed and the designated profile and assigned duties may be adjusted to facilitate an equitable distribution of workload among academic staff members (see Section 4).

5.5 SERVICE

The University of Regina uses collegial governance and the Faculty of Science values effective service from members. It is incumbent upon each academic staff member to accept a fair share of responsibility regarding administration and committee work in a manner consistent with their designated profile.

Professional service is another way that academic staff may make service contributions.

Academic staff members are encouraged to participate in, or to lead, community service activities related to their area of expertise.

In evaluating service, the quality of the contributions will be considered. It is incumbent on members to provide documentation in their review packages that demonstrates the nature and extent of their service contributions. For those members with service-focused profiles with roles that are not readily understood, documentation is required that shows the active nature of their service and the meaningful
contributions they have made. Examples of documentation include deliverables in the form of documents, reports, communications, media, policy changes, and letters from other committee members outlining their contributions or testifying to their efficacy.

6. **CAREER PROGRESS**

Career-progress decisions (increments, merit, promotions, renewal of tenure-track appointments, granting of tenured appointments) in the Faculty of Science are guided by Article 18.

6.1 **INCREMENTS**

An Increment will be awarded annually in recognition of satisfactory performance of the assigned duties by the academic staff member. If an academic staff member is not scheduled for a performance review, then the increment is awarded automatically. If the member is scheduled for a performance review, then the increment is awarded on the basis of the performance review.

The documentation package to be submitted by the academic staff member for performance review contains the following documents:

- Annual Information Form, including a description of the member’s designated profile of assigned duties
- Statistical Summary
- Curriculum Vitae
- Teaching Dossier – mandatory for tenure-track members, optional for tenured members
- Sabbatical Report, if applicable
- Relevant additional information, if any

Deadlines for documentation package submission: December 15th for tenure-track members; January 31st for tenured and term members.
6.2 MERIT

Merit, in accordance with Article 18.3, may be granted to those academic staff members who, considering their present category and rank, have clearly made exceptional contributions in an area of their assigned duties since their last merit increment or, if merit has never been granted previously, since the initial appointment, while maintaining consistently good performance in all areas assigned. Outstanding performance in important administrative responsibilities, or in activities related to the academic staff member's discipline, may be recognized for a merit award provided that long-term strong contributions have been in evidence in the assigned duties since merit was last granted.

Recommendations for merit will take into account the member’s profile of assigned duties.

Consideration for merit is achieved in one of three ways:

1. at the request of the academic staff member, in writing to the Dean and copied to the Department Head no later than November 30\textsuperscript{th};
2. at the recommendation of the Initial Reviewer, regardless of whether the academic staff member has requested merit;
3. at the recommendation of the Faculty Review Committee, regardless of whether the academic staff member has requested merit.

Written merit requests by the academic staff member must provide, no later than November 30\textsuperscript{th}, a clear statement of the basis for the merit request.

The documentation package to be submitted by the academic staff member requesting merit contains the following documents:

- Cover Letter (the written request for merit), by November 30\textsuperscript{th}
- Annual Information Form, including a description of the member’s designated profile of assigned duties
• Statistical Summary
• Curriculum Vitae
• Teaching Dossier
• Sabbatical Report, if applicable
• Relevant additional information, if any

Deadlines for submission of the documentation package: December 15th for tenure-track members; January 31st for tenured members.

6.3 TENURE

By Article 14.3.4 of the Collective Agreement, tenure is granted to an academic staff member who has met the relevant performance standards and shows promise of future contributions that will enhance the University’s academic reputation.

Tenure Criteria in the Faculty of Science

• Instructors will be granted tenure on the evidence of (1) teaching excellence and (2) collegial engagement in service.

• Lecturers will be granted tenure on the evidence of (1) teaching excellence, (2) scholarly work and experience that are shown to contribute to their teaching in the discipline, and (3) collegial engagement in service.

• Faculty members at the rank of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor will be granted tenure on the evidence of (1) teaching effectiveness at all levels, (2) the establishment of an active research program that goes beyond the work in which the member was previously involved and has resulted in peer-reviewed publications arising from research initiated during a tenure-track position at the University of Regina in which the faculty member is the identifiable leader, and (3) collegial engagement in service.

Letters of Reference

In accordance with Article 17.9, applications for tenure require letters of reference solicited by the Dean. Instructors applying for tenure
may forego the use of letters of reference upon written request to the Dean.

The academic staff member shall supply the names and contact information for three referees preferably by November 1\textsuperscript{st}, but no later than November 30\textsuperscript{th}, and the Dean shall request a letter of reference for the tenure application from each such referee. The Dean may also obtain letters of reference from up to three additional referees.

In the case of faculty members who hold the rank of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor, referees for tenure are to be from institutions other than the University of Regina and cannot be previous academic (masters, doctoral, postdoctoral) supervisors, departmental colleagues (past or present), current collaborators, or co-authors of works published during the previous six years.

In the case of faculty members who hold the rank of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor, referees for tenure will be asked to comment only on the faculty member’s contributions to research and scholarship, and not on the faculty member’s teaching or service.

\textit{Application Process}

To apply for tenure, preferably by November 1\textsuperscript{st}, but no later than November 30\textsuperscript{th}, the academic staff member shall submit documentation consisting of:

- Cover Letter, which details the case for tenure
- Names, Contact Information, and Short Biographies of three Referees (members should seek input from mentors, the Department Head, and the Dean on appropriate referees)
- Curriculum Vitae
- Copies of three scholarly articles, in the case of Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, or Professor

By December 15\textsuperscript{th}, the academic staff member shall submit additional supporting documentation consisting of:
• Annual Information Form, including a description of the member’s designated profile of assigned duties
• Statistical Summary
• Teaching Dossier
• Sabbatical Report, if applicable
• Relevant additional information, if any

6.4 PROMOTION IN THE INSTRUCTOR CATEGORY

Instructor I to Instructor II

Promotion at this level will be based on successful completion of a M.Sc. and a demonstrated record of relevant teaching proficiency. An Instructor I with a B.Sc. (Hons.) may be promoted to an Instructor II with an established record of relevant teaching proficiency and four years of relevant teaching experience. The Instructor should have demonstrated the ability to modify existing courses as required, be ready to participate in course development, and have acquired experience in service.

Instructor II to Instructor III

Promotion at this level will be based on the successful completion of a Ph.D. and a demonstrated record of relevant teaching proficiency. An Instructor II with a M.Sc. may be promoted to an Instructor III with an established record of relevant teaching proficiency and five years of relevant teaching experience. The candidate must have demonstrated an ability to contribute to all aspects of course development and have acquired proficiency in service.

Letters of Reference
In accordance with Article 17.9, academic staff members seeking promotion in the Instructor category are not required to have letters of reference. However, if the member wishes, the Instructor may solicit up to two letters of reference, to be sent directly to the Dean by January 15th.
Application Process
To apply for promotion, the academic staff member shall submit documentation no later than November 30th consisting of:

- Cover Letter, which details the case for promotion
- Curriculum Vitae

By December 15th for tenure-track members and by January 4th for tenured instructors, the academic staff member shall submit additional supporting documentation consisting of:

- Annual Information Form, including a description of the member’s designated profile of assigned duties
- Statistical Summary
- Teaching Dossier
- Sabbatical Report, if applicable
- Relevant additional information, if any

6.5 PROMOTION IN THE FACULTY CATEGORY

Lecturer to Assistant Professor

Promotion at this level will be based on:

- sustained fulfilment of all the requirements of the teaching-focused profile at the Lecturer level,
- possessing a Ph.D. degree or equivalent,
- demonstrated teaching effectiveness, and
- research initiated to an extent that indicates the ability of the candidate to carry on a research program that goes beyond the work in which the member was previously involved, and
- a record of peer-reviewed publications arising from research initiated at the University of Regina.

Assistant Professor to Associate Professor

Promotion at this level will be based on:
• sustained fulfilment of all the requirements of the member’s designated profile at the Assistant Professor level,
• a demonstrated record of relevant teaching effectiveness at all levels,
• ongoing research and scholarship that goes beyond the work in which the member was previously involved,
• a record of peer-reviewed publications arising from research initiated at the University of Regina in which the member is an identifiable leader, and
• a record of collegial engagement in service.

Associate Professor to Professor

Promotion at this level will be based on:
• sustained fulfilment of all the requirements of the member’s designated profile at the Associate Professor level,
• a demonstrated record of relevant teaching effectiveness at all levels,
• establishment of a national or international reputation in research and scholarship,
• a commitment to the institution and participation in its governance, with administrative duties at the Department, Faculty and University levels.

Letters of Reference

In accordance with Article 17.9, faculty members seeking promotion in the Faculty category are not required to have letters of reference except in the case of an application for promotion to the rank of Professor. However, if a faculty member is applying for promotion to the rank of Assistant Professor or Associate Professor, then he or she may request, in writing to the Dean, the use of up to three letters of reference in support of the application for promotion.

If an application for promotion to Assistant Professor or Associate Professor is made concurrently with an application for tenure, then it will not be assumed that the letters of reference for tenure shall also address the application for promotion. However, at the written request
of the faculty member, the Dean will ask the referees to address in their letters of reference the cases for both tenure and promotion.

The faculty member shall supply the names and contact information for three referees preferably by November 1st, but no later than November 30th, and the Dean shall request a letter of reference for the promotion application from each such referee. The Dean may also obtain letters of reference from up to three additional referees.

Referees for promotion are to be from institutions other than the University of Regina and cannot be previous academic (masters, doctoral, postdoctoral) supervisors, departmental colleagues (past or present), current collaborators, or co-authors of works published during the previous six years.

Referees for promotion will be asked to comment only on the faculty member’s contributions to research and scholarship.

**Application Process**

To apply for promotion to Professor, preferably by November 1st, but no later than November 30th, the faculty member shall submit documentation consisting of:

- Cover Letter, which details the case for promotion
- Names, contact information, and short biographies of three referees (members should seek input from mentors, the Department Head, and the Dean on appropriate referees)
- Curriculum Vitae
- Copies of five scholarly articles

By December 15th for tenure-track members and by January 31st for tenured members, the faculty member shall submit additional supporting documentation consisting of:

- Annual Information Form, including a description of the member’s designated profile of assigned duties
- Statistical Summary
- Teaching Dossier
- Sabbatical Report, if applicable
• Relevant additional information, if any

7. SABBATICALS

Article 16.7 states the University will award each year a limited number of sabbaticals in keeping with its responsibilities. The Faculty of Science endorses sabbaticals as a means of encouraging professional development and productive scholarship of mutual benefit to the academic staff member and the Faculty. In keeping with the philosophy and past practices of the Faculty of Science, the academic staff member is encouraged to pursue the majority of the activities associated with the sabbatical at another institution.

The justification for a sabbatical is determined primarily on the basis of a written proposal outlining the nature of the program to be undertaken, and the benefits to the individual and the University that may reasonably be expected. The Faculty Review Committee examines proposals and advises the Dean about the academic merits of the sabbatical proposal. The Dean may reject proposals because of lack of merit, or may defer a sabbatical because of staffing problems.

The following criteria will form the basis of assessment of the proposed sabbatical:

1. completion of the Application for Sabbatical form available on the Human Resources website and a written sabbatical proposal;
2. a record of performing required duties in accordance with the faculty member’s designated profile (as described in Section 4), as shown in an accompanying up-to-date curriculum vitae;
3. a sabbatical plan giving a clear and specific indication of the activities to be carried out (e.g., research/project(s), establishment of research linkages, research articles, books or book chapters, conferences, sites to be visited, teaching or professional development), including (i) a statement (developed in consultation with the Department Head) of the relevance of the proposed activities to the academic staff
member’s professional field and assigned duties, and (ii) a statement of the anticipated short and long term benefits for the University, Faculty, and academic staff member, including the expected outcome of the proposed sabbatical (to be considered in the review of the final report);

4. a clear statement of the requirement of a sabbatical in accomplishing professional development and the merits associated with the proposed location(s) (accompanied by letter(s) of invitation from other institutions) must be included;

5. an analysis by the Department Head of the potential impact of the sabbatical on the operations of the Department and on alternative arrangements for the delivery of courses normally given by the academic staff member;

6. a statement concerning the arrangements that will be made for the supervision of graduate students.

Each application is considered individually, but in general, approval is limited to those applicants who present a well thought-out plan of research/teaching development, study, travel, or other activity clearly related to the academic staff member’s professional field and assigned duties at the University of Regina.

Academic staff members who have been granted a sabbatical shall:

1. Submit a Sabbatical Report form and a written report summarizing the activities and accomplishments within three months of completion of the sabbatical. The academic staff member is responsible for distributing the completed copies of the Sabbatical Report form and the written report to the Department Head, the Dean of Science, the Research Office, and Human Resources. Subsequent applications for sabbatical may, in part, be evaluated on the basis of the achievements of previous sabbaticals. Therefore, any concrete indications of the value of the sabbatical period (books or articles published, renewed requests for services) should be submitted when they become available for inclusion or notation in the academic staff member’s general information file in the University Archives.
2. Present the results of scholarly activities pursued during their sabbatical at a Departmental seminar within one year of their return.
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